

Inverse problem for the minimal surface equation and nonlinear CGO calculus in dimension 2

Tony Liimatainen
University of Helsinki
Sep 8, 2023

Based on a work with C. Carstea, M. Lassas and L. Tzou

Introduction

The minimal surface equation (1)

A minimal surface, which is given as a graph $\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of a function $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the minimal surface equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u}{(1+|\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- Quasilinear elliptic.
- A minimal surface has vanishing mean curvature; trace of the tensor $(X, Y) \mapsto \langle \nabla_X N, Y \rangle$ vanishes, X, Y tangential.

More generally, a minimal surface embedded in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, \bar{g}) can be defined to be an n -dimensional submanifold whose mean curvature vanishes.

- As mean curvature depends not only on the metric on the minimal surface, but also on the “ambient” metric \bar{g} , the form of the minimal surface equation will depend also on \bar{g} .

The minimal surface equation (2)

We consider $n = 2$. We work in Fermi coordinates relative to a surface Ω embedded in M , where the metric of (M, \bar{g}) reads

$$\bar{g}(s, x) = ds^2 + \sum_{k,l=1}^2 g_{kl}(s, x) dx^k dx^l.$$

- Fermi coordinates always exist. Not a restriction of generality.
- The metric g on Ω is given by $g_{kl}(s, x)|_{s=0}$.
- If u is a function over Ω , we write $g_u(x) = g(u(x), x)$.

If a minimal surface embedded in (M, \bar{g}) is given as a graph of u over Ω , then u satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Det}(g_u)^{1/2}} \nabla \cdot \left(g_u^{-1} \frac{\text{Det}(g_u)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|_{g_u}^2}} \right) \nabla u + f(u, \nabla u) = 0,$$

where

$$f(u, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + |\nabla u|_{g_u}^2)^{1/2}} (\partial_s g_u^{-1})(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2} (1 + |\nabla u|_{g_u}^2)^{1/2} \text{Tr}(g_u^{-1} \partial_s g_u).$$

The inverse problem and main results

Let us then assume $\Omega = (\Sigma, g)$ is itself a minimal surface and that the DN map Λ_g of the minimal surface equation is known. The problem is to determine the minimal surface (Σ, g) .

Theorem (C. Carstea, M. Lassas, T. L. L. Tzou 2023)

Let $(\Sigma_1, g_1) \subset (M_1, \bar{g}_1)$ and $(\Sigma_2, g_2) \subset (M_2, \bar{g}_2)$ be embedded 2D minimal surface surfaces with a mutual boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Assume that Σ_1, Σ_2 are diffeomorphic to a fixed domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . (Assume also boundary determination.)

If the DN maps of the associated minimal surface equations satisfy $\Lambda_{g_1} f = \Lambda_{g_2} f$, for $f \in C^\infty(\partial\Sigma)$ sufficiently small, then there is an isometry $F : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$,

$$F^* g_2 = g_1, \quad F|_{\partial\Sigma} = Id.$$

Also $F^ \eta_2 = \eta_1$, where η_β are the second fundamental forms of (Σ_β, g_β) , $\beta = 1, 2$.*

- If we only consider recovering a conformal class by assuming a priori $g_2 = cg_1$, then the assumption that Σ_1 and Σ_2 are topologically a fixed domain in \mathbb{R}^2 can be dropped.

Special motivations for the study:

- 1** Generalized boundary rigidity problem where the aim is to construct a manifold from the areas of minimal surfaces instead of lengths of minimal geodesics.
 - Areas of minimal surfaces determine the DN map of the minimal surface equation.
- 2** AdS/CFT duality conjecture in physics by Ryu and Takayanagi (2006, thousands of citations) states that “entanglement entropies” of a quantum field theory living on the boundary determine areas of related minimal surfaces.
 - Entanglement entropy is the experienced entropy (i.e. state of disorder) of a physical system for an observer who has only access to a subregion of a larger space.
 - Is a (static) spacetime determined by entanglement entropies of a QFT living on the (asymptotic) boundary?
 - Physicists give examples where this is true, i.e. examples where generalized boundary rigidity problem is solvable.

Earlier results

Inverse problems for the minimal surface equation:

- C. Carstea, Lassas, T. L., L. Oksanen (2022), determination of a minimal surface (Σ, g) embedded in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$.
- J. Nurminen (2022, 2023), results for conformally Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^n .

Generalized boundary rigidity & manifold construction in AdS/CFT duality:

- S. Alexakis, T. Balehowsky & A. Nachman (2020) “How to determine a 3 dimensional manifold from the areas of their minimal surfaces”.
- Physics papers by: S. Bilson, N. Bao et al, V. Hubeny, N. Jokela, A. Pönni...

Recent advances in inverse problem for nonlinear equations:

- Kurylev, Lassas & Uhlmann (2018), inverse problem for $\square_g u(x, t) + q(x, t)u^2(x, t) = 0$.
- A. Feizmohammadi & L. Oksanen and Lassas, T.L, Y-H. Lin & M. Salo (2019), inverse problems for $\Delta_g u + qu^m = 0$, $m \geq 2$.
- Other recent results for nonlinear elliptic by K. Krupchyk, T. Zhou, Y. Kian, R-Y. Lai, H. Liu, L. Tzou, B. Harrach, T. Tyni, L. Potenciano-Machado...

Proof of main theorem

How to recover an embedded minimal surface from the DN map (1)

The recovery is based on the higher order linearization method: Consider $f_j \in C^\infty(\partial\Sigma)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and denote by $u = u_{\varepsilon_1 f_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_4 f_4}$ the solution to the minimal surface equation with boundary data $\varepsilon_1 f_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_4 f_4$. Denote $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4)$.

By taking the derivative $\partial_{\varepsilon_j}|_{\varepsilon=0}$ of the solution $u_{\varepsilon_1 f_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_4 f_4}$, we see that the function

$$v^j := \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_j} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} u_{\varepsilon_1 f_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_4 f_4}$$

solves the first linearized equation

$$\Delta_g v + qv = 0,$$

where $q(x)$ is the quantity $\left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \text{Tr}(g_u^{-1} \partial_s g_u)$.

- Under the assumption that Σ is topologically a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , it is possible to recover (Σ, g) up to a conformal mapping by the result of O. Y. Imanuvilov, G. Uhlmann, and M. Yamamoto (2012).
- The conformal factor will be found only from the third linearization.

How to recover an embedded minimal surface from the DN map (2)

Let us denote by $\eta(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X N, Y \rangle_{\bar{g}}$ the (scalar) second fundamental form. The function $w^{jk} := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_j \partial \varepsilon_k} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} u_{\varepsilon_1 f_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_4 f_4}$ satisfies the second linearized equation

$$(\Delta_g + q)w^{jk} = \text{terms of the form } \eta(\nabla v^j, \nabla v^k) + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Lower order terms are terms contain at most one gradient of a linearized solution v_j . Since we know the DN map of second linearization, it follows that the integral

$$\int_{\Sigma} v^1 \eta(\nabla v^2, \nabla v^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} v^2 \eta(\nabla v^1, \nabla v^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} v^3 \eta(\nabla v^1, \nabla v^2) dV + \text{lower order terms} \quad (2)$$

is known.

- The aim is to recover the matrix field η next from (2). This is done by choosing special CGO solutions for the linearized equation $(\Delta_g + q)v = 0$.

How to recover an embedded minimal surface from the DN map (3)

To recover η , we use as solutions v^k , $(\Delta_g + q)v^k = 0$, the CGOs constructed by C. Guillarmou and L. Tzou (2011, GAFA) of the form

$$e^{\Phi/h}(a + r_h),$$

where $\Phi = \phi + i\psi$ is a holomorphic Morse function, h small, a is a holomorphic function and r_h is a correction term given by

$$r_h = -\bar{\partial}_\psi^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_h^j \bar{\partial}_\psi^{*-1}(qa),$$

where $\bar{\partial}_\psi^{-1}$ is defined (modulo localization) by $\bar{\partial}_\psi^{-1} f = \bar{\partial}^{-1}(e^{-2i\psi/h} f)$, where $\bar{\partial}^{-1}$ is the Cauchy-Riemann operator that solves $\bar{\partial}^{-1} \bar{\partial} = \text{Id}$.

- The form of T_h is not important, but what is important to note is that the h -dependence of r_h is quite implicit and not polynomial.

How to recover an embedded minimal surface from the DN map (4)

Plugging in the CGOs $v^k = e^{\Phi_k/h}(a_k + r_{k,h})$, to the integral identity

$$\int_{\Sigma} v^1 \eta(\nabla v^2, \nabla v^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} v^2 \eta(\nabla v^1, \nabla v^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} v^3 \eta(\nabla v^1, \nabla v^2) dV + \dots = 0,$$

yields the term

$$I_{leading} = \int_{\Sigma} \hat{v}^1 \eta(\nabla \hat{v}^2, \nabla \hat{v}^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} \hat{v}^2 \eta(\nabla \hat{v}^1, \nabla \hat{v}^3) dV + \int_{\Sigma} \hat{v}^3 \eta(\nabla \hat{v}^1, \nabla \hat{v}^2) dV,$$

where $\hat{v}^k = e^{\Phi_k/h} a_k$ and integral I_{other} of other terms that contain products of $e^{\Phi_k/h} r_{k,h}$ and their gradients. We wish to use stationary phase for $I_{leading}$ to recover η and consider I_{other} as a negligible term.

Stationary phase yields $I_{leading} = O(1)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ while L^p estimates $\|r_{k,h}\|_{L^p}, \|\nabla r_{k,h}\|_{L^p} = O(h^{1/p})$ yield $I_{other} \sim O(h^{-1})$. Thus

$$I_{other} > I_{leading}, \quad h \rightarrow 0$$

by just using L^p estimates. [A problem.](#)

Solution: Nonlinear CGO calculus

Nonlinear CGO calculus is a collection estimates that can be considered to be stationary phase type estimates for a class of h -dependent functions. The main estimate is

Theorem (C. Carstea, M. Lassas, T. L. L. Tzou 2023)

Let f be C_c^∞ smooth outside a finite number of points and $\deg(f) \geq l \geq 0$, then

$$\int e^{4i\psi/h} f \partial^l r_h = o(h^{\lfloor (\deg(f)-l)/2 \rfloor + 1}).$$

- $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function. The degree $\deg(f)$ of a function f is roughly the order it vanishes at critical points of ψ ; if $\deg(f) = l$, then $f(z) = z^k \bar{z}^m + O(|z|^{l+1})$, $k + m = l$.
- The theorem yields improved estimate $I_{other} = o(1)$. Thus $I_{other} < O(1) = I_{leading}$, and we recover 2nd fundamental form η . 3rd order linearization recovers the conformal factor.
- Note that for $n \geq 3$, typical CGOs used in geometric inverse problems can be made to have correction terms R_h with $R_h = O_{H^k}(\tau^{-R})$, for any $k, R \in \mathbb{N}$, so that nonlinear CGO calculus is not needed. Recall that we have only $r_h = O_{L^p}(h^{1/p})$.

- 1** Recovery of a general 2D minimal surface from the DN map of minimal surface equation under a topological assumption.
 - Conformal factor can be recovered in without the topological assumption.
 - Also recovered second fundamental form; information about the ambient space.
- 2** Introduction of the recent higher order linearization method for inverse problems into the AdS/CFT correspondence in physics.
 - Entanglement entropies of CFT determine the DN map of minimal surface equation.
- 3** Nonlinear CGO calculus to handle contributions from products of CGOs needed in studies of nonlinear models in 2D.
 - CGO calculus is independent of the application to inverse problem for the minimal surface equation.
 - Needed not only in geometric settings, but useful in inverse problems for quasilinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^2 .

