The Impact of AGN Feedback on Galaxy Formation in Gas-Rich Mergers at z~1-2

Max Mattero 19.3.2024

Acronyms: Keep These in Mind...

- BH = Black Hole
 - SMBH = Supermassive Black Hole
- AGN = Active Galactic Nucleus
- SFR = Star Formation Rate
- FB = Feedback

Outline

- Motivation
- Background Theory
- Simulation Codes
- Model Galaxies
- Simulation Results
- Summary



Merger simulation in process

Motivation: Why Bother?

- SMBHs in the cores of most massive galaxies
- Coevolution with host galaxy
 - Mass, velocity dispersion...
- AGNs common in the early Universe
- Galaxies merge frequently
- Peak star formation at z=1-2
 - Age of the Universe ~3.2-5.7 Gyr
 - ~50% of current stellar mass density



Actual galaxy merger: Antennae Galaxies (Robert Gendler)

Background Theory BHs, AGNs, Mergers

AGN and AGN Feedback

- Highly luminous regions around SMBHs
- SMBH accretes mass from surrounding accretion disk
- AGN Feedback: energy released in accretion heats and disperses gas
 - Critical limit (Eddington limit)



AGN in Centaurus A (ESO/WFI; MPIfR/ESO/APEX/A.Weiss et al.; NASA/CXC/CfA/R.Kraft et al.)

BH Mergers

- Three phases
 - kpc scale: dynamical friction
 - BHs create a 'wake' of stars and experience drag
 - pc scale: **3-body scattering**
 - BHs eject stars from the loss cone
 - mpc scale: gravitational wave emission
 - Energy loss via GWs
 - Power scales as eccentricity^{7/2}



Incredible illustration of dynamical friction

Simulation Codes N-Body Simulations, GADGET and KETJU

GADGET: Basics

- N-body code with added gas dynamics
- Particles types: stellar, gas, dark matter, BH
 - Gas and stellar particles: 10⁵ solar masses
- Limited particle number
 - Stellar particles, not stars!
 - Softening
- Stellar feedback
 - Supernovae, stellar wind
- Star formation, gas cooling...







Spline kernel softening in GADGET

GADGET: Black Holes

- Close BH-BH and BH-stellar interactions softened
- BH accretion: rate scales as mass²
- AGN feedback
 - Proportional to accretion rate
 - Thermal
 - Increase thermal energies of nearby gas particles
 - Stable, continuous
 - Kinetic
 - Kick nearby gas particles
 - Store energy in reservoir, release once full
 - Violent, stochastic

KETJU: Basics

- Extension to GADGET
- Higher-accuracy, non-softened dynamics around BHs
 - KETJU region: $r=3\epsilon=15 \text{ pc}$
- Resolve BH binary phase
 - $E_{kin} + E_{grav} < 0$
 - Down to subparsec scales (GW emission)
 - Post-Newtonian dynamics
 - Merger at separation r_{min}

$$r_{\min} = 6 \left(r_{\mathrm{s},i} + r_{\mathrm{s},j} \right) = 6 \left(\frac{2GM_{\mathrm{BH},i}}{c^2} + \frac{2GM_{\mathrm{BH},j}}{c^2} \right)$$

• ~10⁻⁵ pc for M_{BH} =10⁷ solar masses



Your average illustration of gravitational waves (LIGO / T. Pyle)

KETJU: Black Hole Binary Phase

- Circumbinary disc around both BHs
 - Centered on their center-of-mass
- Gas flows into mini-discs around BHs
- BH binary accretion model
 - Total accretion rate as before
 - Split total rate for primary and secondary BH
 - As a function of mass-ratio
 - Preferential accretion onto the secondary BH
 - Orbits closer to outer disc
 - Mass-ratio evolves towards 1



KETJU binary accretion model, x marks the center-of-mass (recreated from Liao et al. 2023)

Model Galaxies Recipe for Realistic High-Redshift Galaxies

Individual Galaxies: Basics

- Massive, gas-rich spiral galaxies
 - $R_{vir} \sim 200 \text{ kpc}$
- z=1 and z=2
 - High gas fractions, low metallicity
 - Rapid star formation
- Components
 - Gaseous and stellar disks
 - Stellar bulge
 - Dark matter halo
 - Central SMBH



Full galaxy (left panels) and stellar bulge component separated (right panels)

Individual Galaxies: Parameters

- Key parameters
 - Total mass (~10¹² solar masses)
 - Disk and bulge mass fractions
 - Gas fraction
 - 40% for z=1, 60% for z=2
 - BH mass (~10⁷ solar masses)
 - Metallicity abundances...

All this work, for what?

GADGET with thermal AGN feedback, mass-ratio 1:1, redshift z=1, orbit G4



KETJU with thermal AGN feedback, mass-ratio 2:1, redshift z=2, orbit G15



Simulation Results Selected Highlights and Comparison with Observations

KETJU: BH Growth

- Simulations as 'redshift-mass-ratio-orbit'
 - Redshift z=1, mass-ratio 2:1, orbit G4 as z1-21-G4
- Binary phase accretion
 - BH mass-ratio evolves toward 1 throughout binary phase
 - Secondary BH has a higher accretion rate
- High variability due to kinetic feedback



z1-21-G4, kinetic feedback

BH masses, BH mass-ratio and accretion rates in z1-21-G4

KETJU: BH Binary Orbital Evolution

- Semimajor axis a and eccentricity e
- Thermal merges faster than kinetic
 - Kinetic FB removes more gas
- Higher eccentricity = faster merger
 - 3rd merger phase: $e^{7/2}$
 - Exception: z1-21-G4 with kinetic FB
 - Conclusion: 3-body scattering phase (parsec scales) is dominant!



Orbital evolution for the z=1 KETJU BHs: semimajor axis a and eccentricity e

KETJU: Gas Fraction vs. Stellar Mass

- Gas fraction as a function of stellar mass in the final merger remnants
- Compare with observed ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs)
 - Often high SFR in ULIRGs
 - AGNs common in ULIRGs
- Good agreement!



Final gas fraction as a function of stellar mass for the KETJU simulations, gray circles indicate observed ULIRGs

KETJU: BH Mass vs. Velocity Dispersion

- Final BH mass as a function of stellar velocity dispersion
 - Dispersion for 100 random lines-of-sight
- Agreement with observed relations
- Kinetic FB leads to smaller dispersions
 - More gas removed: less stellar particles in the center
 - Thermal FB matches relations better



Final BH mass as a function of central stellar velocity dispersion: KETJU simulations as points, observed relations as curves

Summary

- Galaxy mergers are common in the Universe and important for galaxy evolution
- SMBHs merge in roughly three phases
- AGNs heat and disperse gas via AGN feedback
- High-redshift galaxies are gas-rich and form stars rapidly
- Both thermal and kinetic AGN feedback produce realistic galaxies

Thank you! Questions?