# Uniqueness for a wave propagation inverse problem in a half space

Matti Lassas<sup>1</sup>, Margaret Cheney<sup>2</sup> and Gunther Uhlmann<sup>3</sup>

#### **Abstract**

This paper considers an inverse problem for wave propagation in a perturbed, dissipative half-space. The perturbation is assumed to be compactly supported. This paper shows that in dimension three, the perturbation is uniquely determined by knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on an open subset of the boundary.

#### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider an inverse problem for wave propagation modeled by the equation

$$(\nabla^2 + q(x))u(x) = 0, (1)$$

where x is in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and q can be complex-valued. This equation arises in the propagation of electromagnetic [1], [4] and acoustic [7], [10] waves.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rolf Nevanlinna Institute, P. O. Box 4, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland. This research was supported by Finnish Academy project 37692.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455; permanent address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180. This research was supported in part by RPI, the IMA, ONR, and NSF.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. This research was partly supported by NSF and ONR.

We consider the problem in the half-space  $x_3 < 0$ . In the lower half-space, q(x) differs from the constant  $q_-$  only in a region of compact support. Here the imaginary part of q(x) and  $q_-$  are assumed to be positive.

For this wave propagation problem we consider an inverse boundary value problem for the lower half-space. In particular, we specify Dirichlet data on the top surface:

$$u|_{x_3=0} = f, (2)$$

together with an outgoing radiation condition in the lower half-space. We assume that the boundary data f is in the Sobolev space  $H^{1/2}$  defined by

$$H^{s} = \{ f : \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} (1 + |\xi|^{2})^{s/2} d\xi < \infty \}.$$

For boundary data in this space, it is known that the boundary value problem (1), (2), together with radiation conditions, has a unique solution [1].

Thus the normal derivative  $\partial u/\partial x_3$  on the surface  $x_3=0$  is uniquely determined. The mapping from  $H^{1/2}$  to  $H^{-1/2}$ 

$$\Lambda: u|_{x_3=0} \mapsto \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}|_{x_3=0} \tag{3}$$

is called the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. Such maps have been used a great deal recently in the study of inverse problems [15], [9], [13], [11], [14]. Knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is equivalent, in a certain sense, to scattering data [1].

The inverse boundary value problem is to determine q(x) in the lower half-space from knowledge of  $\Lambda$ . In the case in which the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is defined on the boundary of a compact region, it is known [15] that knowledge of  $\Lambda$  uniquely determines q(x). The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to the half-space geometry. For the case in which q is purely real, the half-space uniqueness question was studied in the unpublished manuscripts [17] and [5]. Here we consider the complex case.

## 2 The theorem and proof

We consider two equations of the form (1). We denote the two qs by  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , and we will use subscripts to denote the corresponding associated solutions, Green's functions, Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps, etc..

We assume that the general region of space containing the possible perturbations  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  is known. We denote by B a large open set containing the supports of  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . We also assume that the perturbation is strictly contained in the lower half-space, so that the closure of B does not intersect the boundary  $x_3 = 0$ .

The proof of the theorem will make use of the Dirichlet Green's function, which can be defined as follows by the method of images. We use a tilde to denote the image point, so that  $\tilde{y}$  is the point obtained by reflecting y across the  $y_3 = 0$  plane. First we recall that the free-space outgoing Green's function corresponding to the medium parameters in the lower half-space is

$$G_0(x,y) = \frac{e^{i\sqrt{q_-}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}. (4)$$

For a source located at the image point  $\tilde{y}$  we define the function  $\tilde{G}_0(x,y) = G_0(x,\tilde{y})$ . Thus the Green's function of the homogeneous half-space is defined by  $G_0^D(x,y) = G_0(x,y) - \tilde{G}_0(x,y)$ . This function satisfies the Dirichlet boundary condition  $G_0^D(x,y)|_{x_3=0} = 0$  and the Sommerfeld radiation condition

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial |x|} - ik\right) G_0^D(x, y) = 0.$$
 (5)

Thus we can define the perturbed free-space Green's function as the unique solution of the Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$G^{D}(x,y) = G_{0}^{D}(x,y) + \int G_{0}^{D}(x,z)(q(z) - q_{-})G^{D}(z,y) dz.$$
 (6)

By construction, this Dirichlet Green's function satisfies (in the distribution sense)

$$(\nabla^2 + q(x))G^D(x, y) = -\delta(x - y) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}_{-}^3. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, simple calculations show that this  $\mathcal{G}^D$  satisfies the boundary condition

$$G^{D}(x,y)|_{x_{3}=0}=0, (8)$$

and the Sommerfeld radiation condition (5). Moreover, general facts about Green's functions [3] imply that  $G^D$  also satisfies the reciprocity relation

$$G^{D}(x,y) = G^{D}(y,x). \tag{9}$$

**Proposition 2.1** The kernel of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $\Lambda$  is

$$\frac{-\partial}{\partial x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} G^D(x, y)|_{x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0}.$$
 (10)

*Proof.* We apply the divergence theorem and radiation condition (5) to  $\int (G^D \nabla^2 u - u \nabla^2 G^D) = u$ , where u denotes a solution of (1), (2), with radiation conditions in the lower half-space.  $\square$ 

We denote by  $\mathbf{R}_{-}^3$  the lower half-space  $\{x: x_3 < 0\}$ , and by A the set  $\mathbf{R}_{-}^3 \backslash \overline{\mathbf{B}}$ . In the following we say that  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$  on some open subset  $\Gamma$  of the boundary  $x_3 = 0$  if the kernels of the operators coincide on  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} G_1^D(x, y) \Big|_{x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} G_2^D(x, y) \Big|_{x_3 = 0, y_3 = 0}$$
(11)

for x and y in  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose the set B containing the supports of  $q_1 - q_-$  and  $q_2 - q_-$  is strictly contained in the lower half-space. If  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$  on some open subset  $\Gamma$  of the boundary  $x_3 = 0$ , then  $q_1 = q_2$ .

*Proof.* The proof involves a series of five lemmas. The first two show that knowledge of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map suffices to determine the Dirichlet Green's function outside the perturbation in q. The third and fourth lemmas establish a version of the Green's theorem identity that is often used for uniqueness arguments. The last lemma, which is roughly based on the uniqueness proof in [8], shows that linear combinations of the Dirichlet Green's functions can be used to approximate the Sylvester-Uhlmann solutions.

**Lemma 2.2** Suppose the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied. Then  $\partial_{y_3}G_1^D(x,y) = \partial_{y_3}G_2^D(x,y)$  for y in  $\Gamma$  and x in A.

*Proof.* For a fixed y in  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $F_i(x)$  the function  $\partial_{y_3}G_i^D(x,y)$  for i=1,2. Each  $F_i$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation  $(\nabla^2 + q_-)F_i(x) = 0$  in the set A away from the perturbation. Because  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$  on  $\Gamma$ , from (11) we have that the normal derivatives of  $F_i$  coincide there:  $\partial_{x_3}F_1(x) = \partial_{x_3}F_2(x)$  for x on

 $\Gamma$ . Moreover, by taking  $y_3$  derivatives of both sides of the boundary condition (8) we see that for x on  $\Gamma$ ,  $F_1(x) = F_2(x) = 0$ . Thus  $F_1 - F_2$  satisfies the unperturbed Helmholtz equation outside  $\overline{B}$ , and has zero Cauchy data on  $\Gamma$ . The Holmgren uniqueness theorem [16] implies that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are identical in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ .

From this the claim follows from the unique continuation principle [2].  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.3** Suppose the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied. Then  $G_1^D(x,y) = G_2^D(x,y)$  for x in A and y in  $A \setminus \{x\}$ .

Proof. Let x in A be fixed. Then  $G_i^D(x,y)$ , i=1,2 satisfies the Helmholtz equation  $(\nabla^2 + q_-)G_i^D(x,\cdot) = 0$  in the set  $A \setminus \{x\}$ . By the boundary condition (8) and reciprocity,  $G_i^D(x,y) = 0$  for y in  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\partial_{y_3}G_1^D(x,y) = \partial_{y_3}G_2^D(x,y)$  for y in  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $G_1^D$  and  $G_2^D$  satisfy the homogeneous Helmholtz equation in  $A \setminus \{x\}$  and have the same Cauchy data on  $\Gamma$ . Again, by the Holmgren uniqueness theorem  $G_1^D(x,\cdot)$  and  $G_2^D(x,\cdot)$  are identical in a neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . Thus by the unique continuation principle they coincide everywhere outside  $\overline{B} \cup \{x\}$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.4** For x in B, and y and z in A,

$$\int_{B} (q_i - q_j)(x) G_i^D(x, y) G_j^D(x, z) dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial B} \left( G_i^D(x, y) \partial_{\nu_x} G_j^D(x, z) - G_j^D(x, z) \partial_{\nu_x} G_i^D(x, y) \right) dS_x,$$

where  $\partial_{\nu_x}$  denotes differentiation with respect to the outward unit normal to B and i, j = 1, 2.

*Proof.* This follows from the divergence theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.5** Suppose the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied. Then for x in B, and y and z in A,

$$\int_{B} (q_1 - q_2)(x)G_1^D(x, y)G_2^D(x, z)dx = 0.$$
 (12)

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.4 with i = 1, j = 2 we have

$$\int_{B} (q_{1} - q_{2})(x)G_{1}^{D}(x, y)G_{2}^{D}(x, z)dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial B} (G_{1}^{D}(x, y)\partial_{\nu_{x}}G_{2}^{D}(x, z) - G_{2}^{D}(x, z)\partial_{\nu_{x}}G_{1}^{D}(x, y))dS_{x}.$$
(13)

However, by Lemma 2.3,  $G_1^D(x,y) = G_2^D(x,y)$  for  $x,y \in A$ . Hence the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of  $G_1^D$  and  $G_2^D$  coincide. By applying Lemma 2.4 in the case i=j see that the right hand side of (13) vanishes and thus we obtain (12).  $\square$ 

Let next  $A_1$  be an open set,  $\overline{A}_1 \subset A$  and define  $U = \text{span}\{G^D(\cdot, y)|_B : y \in A_1\}$  and  $V = \{v \in H^2(B) : (\nabla^2 + q)v = 0\}$ . We consider U and V as non-closed subspaces of  $L^2(B)$ .

**Lemma 2.6** The set U is a dense subset of V in the relative  $L^2(B)$ -topology.

*Proof.* First, U is certainly a subset of V, because by elliptic regularity,  $G^D(\cdot,y)$  is smooth in B when the source y is outside  $\overline{B}$ . To show that U is dense in V, it is enough to show that any  $f \in \overline{V}$  that is orthogonal to U in  $L^2(B)$  must be zero (see, for example, [12], section 53). Accordingly, we consider an  $f \in \overline{V}$  that is orthogonal to U, i.e., an f for which  $\int_B G^D(x,y) \overline{f(x)} dx = 0$  for all  $y \in A_1$ . Let

$$w(y) = -\int_{R} G^{D}(x, y) \overline{f(x)} dx, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}_{-}^{3}.$$

The assumption on the orthogonality of f is precisely that w is identically zero in  $A_1$ . By reciprocity (9) and (7),  $(\nabla^2 + q)w = \tilde{f}$  where  $\tilde{f}$  is the zero-continuation of  $\bar{f}$  to  $\mathbf{R}_{-}^3$ . Since w = 0 in  $A_1$  and  $\tilde{f} = 0$  in A, the unique continuation principle [2] yields that w must vanish everywhere in A. In particular, the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of w vanish on  $\partial B$ . Thus for any  $v \in V$ , the boundary terms vanish in the second equality of

$$\int_{B} v\overline{f} = \int_{B} v(\nabla^{2} + q)w = \int_{B} w(\nabla^{2} + q)v = 0.$$

The third equality follows from the definition of V. Since  $f \in \overline{V}$ , this yields f = 0 in B.  $\square$ 

Let  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ . Since formula (12) is true for all functions in U, Lemma 2.6 and the Schwarz inequality yield

$$\int_{B} (q_1 - q_2) v_1 v_2 \, dx = 0. \tag{14}$$

Thus we can conclude the proof of the theorem by using the following standard arguments (see [15]).

For any complex vector  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^3$  such that  $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$  the equations  $(\nabla^2 + q_i)u = 0$ , i = 1, 2 have so-called Sylvester-Uhlmann solutions (see Appendix A) of the form

$$\psi_i(x,\zeta) = e^{i\zeta \cdot x} (1 + O(1/|\zeta|)).$$

Let now  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $\zeta_1 \in \mathbf{C}^3$  and define  $\zeta_2 = \xi - \zeta_1$ . By substituting  $v_i = \psi_i(x, \zeta_i)$  into formula (14) we get

$$\lim_{|\zeta_1| \to \infty} \int_B (q_1 - q_2) \psi_1(x, \zeta_1) \psi_2(x, \zeta_2) \, dx = \int_B (q_1 - q_2) e^{i\xi \cdot x} \, dx = 0.$$

Thus the Fourier transform of  $q_1 - q_2$  vanishes identically and  $q_1 = q_2$ .  $\square$ 

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Jukka Liukkonen for valuable discussion.

### References

- [1] M. Cheney and D. Isaacson, "Inverse Problems for a Perturbed Dissipative Half-Space", Inverse Problems 11, 856–888 (1995).
- [2] D. Colton and R. Kress, Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [3] J. W. Dettman, Applied Complex Variables, Dover, 1965.
- [4] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 2nd Ed., Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [5] G. Eskin and J. Ralston, "Inverse coefficient problems in perturbed halfspaces", preprint, 1997.

- [6] L.D. Faddeev, "Growing solutions of the Schrödinger equation", Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165, 514–517 (1965); translated Sov. Phys. Dokl. 10, 1033.
- [7] A. Kirsch, "An Introduction To The Mathematical Theory Of Inverse Problems", Springer, New York, 1996.
- [8] R. Melrose, "Geometric Scattering Theory", Cambridge University Press 1995.
- [9] A. Nachman, "Reconstructions from boundary measurements," Annals of Math. 128 (1988), pp. 531-556.
- [10] F. Natterer and F. Wübbeling, "A propagation-backpropagation method for ultrasound tomography", Inverse Problems 11, 1225–1232 (1995).
- [11] P. Ola, L. Päivärinta, and E. Somersalo, "An inverse boundary value problem in electrodynamics", Duke Math. J. 70 (1993) 617–653.
- [12] G.F. Simmons, "Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
- [13] E. Somersalo, M. Cheney, D. Isaacson, and E. Isaacson, "Layer Stripping: A Direct Numerical Method for Impedance Imaging," Inverse Problems, 7 (1991) 899–926; 8 (1992), 493.
- [14] E. Somersalo, "Layer stripping for time-harmonic Maxwell's equations with fixed frequency", Inverse Problems 10 (1994) 449–466.
- [15] J. Sylvester and G. Uhlmann, "A uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem in electrical prospection", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) 91-112; "A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem in electrical prospection", Ann. of Math., 125 (1987) 153-169; "Inverse boundary value problems at the boundary—continuous dependence", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988) 197-221.
- [16] F. Trèves, "Basic Linear Partial Differential Equations", Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[17] R. Weder, "Uniqueness theorem for an inverse problem in geophysics", preprint, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

## A Appendix: Sylvester-Uhlmann Solutions

Because our proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on the Sylvester-Uhlmann solutions [15], we include here a brief outline of the construction of these solutions.

The key is to use a different Green's function in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (6). In particular, we replace the usual outgoing unperturbed Green's function  $G_0$  by the Faddeev Green's function [6]

$$G_{\zeta}(x) = e^{ix\cdot\zeta}g_{\zeta}(x) \tag{15}$$

where

$$g_{\zeta}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{e^{ix\cdot\xi}}{\xi^2 + 2\zeta\cdot\xi} d\xi. \tag{16}$$

Here  $\zeta$  is a three-dimensional complex vector satisfying  $\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0$ .

A variety of estimates are available that exhibit the decay of  $g_{\zeta}$  for large  $|\zeta|$ . One such large- $|\zeta|$  estimate is [9]

$$||g_{\zeta} * f||_{-\delta} \le \frac{c}{|\zeta|} ||f||_{\delta} \tag{17}$$

for  $\delta > 1/2$ , where the subscripts indicate the weighted norm

$$||f||_{\delta} = \left(\int (1+|x|)^{\delta} |f(x)|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \tag{18}$$

This estimate suffices for proving that the Lippmann-Schwinger equation has a unique solution  $\psi$  satisfying

$$||e^{-ix\cdot\zeta}\psi(x,\zeta) - 1||_{-\delta} \le \frac{c}{|\zeta|} \tag{19}$$