#### Generic gaps are descructible

David Chodounský

Charles University, Prague

joint work with Jeff Bergfalk, Osvaldo Guzmán and Michael Hrušák

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

#### Overview

#### Proposition

# The $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -gap in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ forced with countable approximations is destructible.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

# Background on ( $\omega_1, \omega_1$ )-gaps

$$G = (A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \gamma}, \gamma \le \omega_{1} \text{ is a proto-gap if for each } \alpha < \beta < \gamma$$
  

$$A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} \subset \omega,$$
  

$$A_{\alpha} \cup B_{\alpha} \neq^{*} \omega, A_{\alpha} \cap B_{\alpha} = \emptyset \text{ and}$$
  

$$A_{\alpha} \subset^{*} A_{\beta}, B_{\alpha} \subset^{*} B_{\beta}.$$
  
If  $\gamma = \omega_{1}$  we call *G* a *pre-gap*.

# Background on $(\omega_1, \omega_1)$ -gaps

 $G = (A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \gamma}, \gamma \le \omega_{1} \text{ is a proto-gap if for each } \alpha < \beta < \gamma$   $A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha} \subset \omega,$   $A_{\alpha} \cup B_{\alpha} \neq^{*} \omega, A_{\alpha} \cap B_{\alpha} = \emptyset \text{ and}$   $A_{\alpha} \subset^{*} A_{\beta}, B_{\alpha} \subset^{*} B_{\beta}.$ If  $\gamma = \omega_{1}$  we call *G* a *pre-gap*.

Define  $\alpha \parallel \beta$  if  $A_{\alpha} \cap B_{\beta} = \emptyset = B_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}$ ; and  $\alpha \perp \beta$  if not  $\alpha \parallel \beta$ .

Pre-gap *G* is a *gap* if  $\forall X \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1} \exists \alpha, \beta \in X$  such that  $\alpha \perp \beta$ . Equivalently  $\nexists C \subset \omega$  such that  $(\forall \alpha \in \omega_1) A_\alpha \subset^* C$  and  $C \cap B_\alpha =^* \emptyset$ .

A gap *G* is *destructible* if  $\forall X \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1} \exists \alpha \neq \beta \in X$  such that  $\alpha \parallel \beta$ . Equivalently there is a c.c.c. (or just  $\omega_1$ -preserving) forcing such that *G* is not a gap in the extension.

A gap is *indestructible* if  $(\exists X \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1} \forall \alpha \neq \beta \in X) \alpha \perp \beta$ .

## Existence of gaps

An (indesctructible) gap exists in ZFC (Hausdorff).

All gaps are indesctructible assuming any of MA, PID, OCA.

How to get a destructible gap:

- Add a Cohen real.
- Add a gap with finite conditions.
- Construct one using  $\Diamond$  (Dow).

destructible/indesctructible gaps  $\simeq$  Suslin/special Aronszajn trees

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Existence of gaps

An (indesctructible) gap exists in ZFC (Hausdorff).

All gaps are indesctructible assuming any of MA, PID, OCA.

How to get a destructible gap:

- Add a Cohen real.
- Add a gap with finite conditions.
- Construct one using  $\Diamond$  (Dow).

# destructible/indesctructible gaps $\simeq$ Suslin/special Aronszajn trees

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Question

What about a gap added by countable approximations?

#### Forcing a gap with countable conditions

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of countable proto-gaps ordered by end-extension.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Define *G* as the union of the generic filter.

Claim  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed.

Claim

G is a gap.

Proposition

The gap G is destructible.

#### Forcing a gap with countable conditions

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of countable proto-gaps ordered by end-extension.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Define *G* as the union of the generic filter.

Claim  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed.

Claim

G is a gap.

Proposition The gap G is destructible.

Lemma G is sealing.

Lemma Sealing  $\Rightarrow$  destructible Let  $G = (A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \gamma}$  be a proto-gap.

For  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $n \in \omega$  define  $\alpha \subset_n \beta$  if  $(A_\alpha \setminus A_\beta) \cup (B_\alpha \setminus B_\beta) \subseteq n$ For  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x \subseteq n$  define  $(n, x) \triangleleft \alpha$  if  $A_\alpha \cap n \subseteq x$  and  $B_\alpha \cap x = \emptyset$ .

Set  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  is evading if  $(\forall \beta \in \omega_1 \exists \alpha \in E) \ \alpha \parallel \beta$ Gap *G* is sealing if for each evading  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  $\exists \delta \in \omega_1 \exists^{\infty} n \in \omega \ \forall x \subseteq n \exists \alpha \in E \cap \delta$  such that  $(n, x) \triangleleft \alpha$  and  $\alpha \subset_n \delta$ .

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $G = (A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \gamma}$  be a proto-gap.

For  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $n \in \omega$  define  $\alpha \subset_n \beta$  if  $(A_\alpha \setminus A_\beta) \cup (B_\alpha \setminus B_\beta) \subseteq n$ For  $n \in \omega$ ,  $x \subseteq n$  define  $(n, x) \triangleleft \alpha$  if  $A_\alpha \cap n \subseteq x$  and  $B_\alpha \cap x = \emptyset$ .

Set  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  is evading if  $(\forall \beta \in \omega_1 \exists \alpha \in E) \ \alpha \parallel \beta$ Gap *G* is sealing if for each evading  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  $\exists \delta \in \omega_1 \exists^{\infty} n \in \omega \ \forall x \subseteq n \exists \alpha \in E \cap \delta$  such that  $(n, x) \triangleleft \alpha$  and  $\alpha \subset_n \delta$ .

#### Lemma

Sealing  $\Rightarrow$  destructible.

Suppose  $E \in [\omega_1]^{\omega_1}$  is such that  $(\forall \alpha \neq \beta \in E) \alpha \perp \beta$ . WLOG assume E is  $\subseteq$ -maximal with this property  $\Rightarrow E$  is evading. Take  $\delta \in \omega_1$  as in the definition of sealing. Since  $|E| = \omega_1$ , take  $\beta \in E \setminus \delta$ . There is  $n \in \omega$ ,  $\alpha \in E \cap \delta$  such that  $\alpha \subset_n \delta \subset_n \beta$  and  $(n, n \cap A_\beta) \triangleleft \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \parallel \beta$ .

Set  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  is evading if  $(\forall \beta \in \omega_1 \exists \alpha \in E) \alpha \parallel \beta$ Gap *G* is sealing if for each evading  $E \subseteq \omega_1$  $\exists \delta \in \omega_1 \exists^{\infty} n \in \omega \, \forall x \subseteq n \exists \alpha \in E \cap \delta$  such that  $(n, x) \triangleleft \alpha$  and  $\alpha \subset_n \delta$ .

#### Lemma

The generic gap G is sealing. Suppose  $p \vdash E$  is evading. Fix  $M \prec H(\theta)$  countable elementary submodel;  $E, p \in M$ . Let  $\delta = M \cap \omega_1$ .

Extend p in  $\omega$ -many steps to arrange that

 $\exists^{\infty} n \in \omega \, \forall x \subseteq n \, \exists \alpha \in E \cap \delta \text{ such that } (n, x) \triangleleft \alpha \text{ and } \alpha \subset_n \delta.$ 

Question Find a reasonable construction of a destructible gap under  $\Diamond$  (Dow).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○