

# On a playfully defined family of models

Ben De Bondt

IMJ-PRG Université de Paris

**Arctic set theory workshop**  
**February 16 2022**

The Cofund MathInParis PhD project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 754362.



This talk reports on ongoing joint work with my thesis advisor  
**Boban Veličković.**

# Preliminaries

Consider the following situation:

$\lambda < \theta$  are regular cardinals and  $M \prec H_\theta$  is countable with  $H_\lambda \in M$ .

Then for every  $x \in H_\lambda$ , define

$$\text{Hull}(M, x) = \{f(x) : f \in M \text{ a function with } \text{dom}(f) = H_\lambda\}.$$

Thus

$$M \cup \{x\} \subseteq \text{Hull}(M, x) \prec H_\theta$$

and  $\text{Hull}(M, x)$  is minimal with this property.

Let  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$  be the ideal of nonstationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ ,

let  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+ = P(\omega_1) \setminus \text{NS}_{\omega_1}$ , we also refer to the poset  $(\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+, \subseteq)$  as  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ .

# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

Let  $|P(P(\omega_1))| < \lambda < \theta$  be regular cardinals.

We associate to every countable  $M \prec H_\theta$  with  $H_\lambda \in M$  a game  $G_M^{sg}$ .

# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

Let  $|P(P(\omega_1))| < \lambda < \theta$  be regular cardinals.

We associate to every countable  $M \prec H_\theta$  with  $H_\lambda \in M$  a game  $G_M^{sg}$ .

There are two players  $P1$  (“the Challenger”) and  $P2$  (“the Constructor”), the game has length  $\omega$ . As the game  $G_M^{sg}$  is played, a sequence  $(M_n)_{n < \omega}$  will be constructed, with  $M_0 = M$ .

# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

Let  $|P(P(\omega_1))| < \lambda < \theta$  be regular cardinals.

We associate to every countable  $M \prec H_\theta$  with  $H_\lambda \in M$  a game  $G_M^{sg}$ .

There are two players  $P1$  (“the Challenger”) and  $P2$  (“the Constructor”), the game has length  $\omega$ . As the game  $G_M^{sg}$  is played, a sequence  $(M_n)_{n < \omega}$  will be constructed, with  $M_0 = M$ .

In round  $n$  of the game,

- $P1$  plays some maximal antichain  $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$  with  $\mathcal{A}_n \in M_n$ ,
- $P2$  plays some  $S_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $\omega_1 \cap M_n \in S_n$ ,
- define  $M_{n+1} = \text{Hull}(M_n, S_n)$ .

	$M_0$	$\overset{+S_0}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_1$	$\overset{+S_1}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_2$	$\dots$
	$\Psi$		$\Psi$		$\Psi$	
$P1$	$\mathcal{A}_0$		$\mathcal{A}_1$		$\mathcal{A}_2$	$\dots$
$P2$		$S_0$		$S_1$		$\dots$

# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

	$M_0$	$\overset{+S_0}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_1$	$\overset{+S_1}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_2$	$\dots$
	$\Psi$		$\Psi$		$\Psi$	
$P1$	$\mathcal{A}_0$		$\mathcal{A}_1$		$\mathcal{A}_2$	$\dots$
$P2$		$S_0$		$S_1$		$\dots$

## Winning condition:

- A player who disobeys the rules loses,
- else  $P2$  wins iff  $\forall n \ M_n \cap \omega_1 = M \cap \omega_1$ .

# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

## Definition

$M \prec H_\theta$  is called **selfgeneric** if for every maximal antichain  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ , with  $\mathcal{A} \in M$ , there exists  $S \in \mathcal{A} \cap M$  such that  $\omega_1 \cap M \in S$ .



# The selfgenericity game $G_M^{sg}$

## Definition

$M \prec H_\theta$  is called **selfgeneric** if for every maximal antichain  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+$ , with  $\mathcal{A} \in M$ , there exists  $S \in \mathcal{A} \cap M$  such that  $\omega_1 \cap M \in S$ .

## Observation

$P_2$  has a winning strategy for the game  $G_M^{sg}$  iff there exists some countable selfgeneric  $\widetilde{M} \prec H_\theta$  such that  $M \subseteq \widetilde{M}$  and  $M \cap \omega_1 = \widetilde{M} \cap \omega_1$ .

# The strong selfgenericity game $G_M^{ssg}$

We consider a second game, called the strong selfgenericity game  $G_M^{ssg}$ . In round  $n$ , the player  $P1$  now has two options:

- either  $P1$  makes a move  $\mathcal{A}_n \in M_n$  as in the game  $G_M^{sg}$ ,
  - the player  $P2$  then has to answer with some  $S_n$  just as in the game  $G_M^{sg}$ ,
  - define  $M_{n+1} = \text{Hull}(M_n, S_n)$ .

# The strong selfgenericity game $G_M^{ssg}$

We consider a second game, called the **strong selfgenericity game**  $G_M^{ssg}$ . In round  $n$ , the player  $P1$  now has two options:

- either  $P1$  makes a move  $\mathcal{A}_n \in M_n$  as in the game  $G_M^{sg}$ ,
  - the player  $P2$  then has to answer with some  $S_n$  just as in the game  $G_M^{sg}$ ,
  - define  $M_{n+1} = \text{Hull}(M_n, S_n)$ .
- or  $P1$  decides to play some  $x_n \in H_\lambda$  ( $x_n$  not necessarily  $\in M_n$ ),
  - $P2$  then has to play some function  $f_n : \omega_1 \rightarrow H_\lambda$  with  $f_n(\omega_1 \cap M_n) = x_n$ ,
  - define  $M_{n+1} = \text{Hull}(M_n, f_n)$ .

	$M_0$	$\overset{+S_0}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_1$	$\overset{+f_1}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_2$	$\overset{+S_2}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_3$	
	$\Psi$				$\Psi$			
$P1$	$\mathcal{A}_0$		$x_1$		$\mathcal{A}_2$		$x_3$	$\dots$
$P2$		$S_0$		$f_1$		$S_2$		$\dots$

# The strong selfgenericity game $G_M^{ssg}$

	$M_0$	$\overset{+S_0}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_1$	$\overset{+f_1}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_2$	$\overset{+S_2}{\rightsquigarrow}$	$M_3$	
	$\Psi$				$\Psi$			
$P1$	$\mathcal{A}_0$		$x_1$		$\mathcal{A}_2$		$x_3$	$\dots$
$P2$		$S_0$		$f_1$		$S_2$		$\dots$

## Winning condition:

- A player who disobeys the rules loses,
- else  $P2$  wins iff  $\forall n \ M_n \cap \omega_1 = M \cap \omega_1$ .

# Victorious models

## Observation

Both the games  $G_M^{sg}$  and  $G_M^{ssg}$  are closed for  $P2$ , and hence determined.

## Definition

Given  $M \prec H_\theta$  countable with  $H_\lambda \in M$ ,

$M$  is called **weakly victorious** iff there exists a winning strategy for  $P2$  in the game  $G_M^{sg}$ .

$M$  is called **victorious** iff there exists a winning strategy for  $P2$  in the game  $G_M^{ssg}$ .

# Victorious models

By the earlier observation, the following are equivalent:

- $NS_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous,
- there exist projective stationary many selfgeneric  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,
- there exist projective stationary many weakly victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ .

## Question

Is also the existence of (many) **victorious** models  $M \prec H_\theta$  consistent from large cardinals?

# Victorious models

By the earlier observation, the following are equivalent:

- $NS_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous,
- there exist projective stationary many selfgeneric  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,
- there exist projective stationary many weakly victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ .

## Question

Is also the existence of (many) **victorious** models  $M \prec H_\theta$  consistent from large cardinals?

## Answer

Yes!

# Victorious models

In fact,

## Proposition

*The following are equivalent:*

- $NS_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous,
- *there exist projective stationary many countable weakly victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,*
- *there exist projective stationary many countable victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ .*



# Victorious models

In fact,

## Proposition

*The following are equivalent:*

- $NS_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous,
- *there exist projective stationary many countable weakly victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ ,*
- *there exist projective stationary many countable victorious  $M \prec H_\theta$ .*

## Proof.

If time permits.



# Application: forcing special generic iterations

## Definition

Let  $\overline{M}_0$  be a countable transitive model of the theory

$$\text{ZFC}^- + "P(P(\omega_1)) \text{ exists}."$$

A generic iteration of  $\overline{M}_0$  of length  $\omega_1 + 1$  is a sequence

$$\text{It} = (\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$$

such that

- for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\overline{M}_{\alpha+1}$  is the transitivised generic ultrapower of  $\overline{M}_\alpha$  w.r.t. the  $(\overline{M}_\alpha, (\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+)^{M_\alpha})$ -generic filter  $g_\alpha$  and  $j_{\alpha\alpha+1}$  is the induced ultrapower embedding,
- for every limit ordinal  $\gamma \leq \omega_1$ ,  $(\overline{M}_\gamma, j_{\alpha\gamma} : \alpha < \gamma)$  is the transitivised direct limit of the system  $(\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta < \gamma)$ .

# Application: forcing special generic iterations

## Definition

Let  $\overline{M}_0$  be a countable transitive model of the theory

$$\text{ZFC}^- + “P(P(\omega_1)) \text{ exists}”.$$

A generic iteration of  $\overline{M}_0$  of length  $\omega_1 + 1$  is a sequence

$$\text{It} = (\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$$

such that

- for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\overline{M}_{\alpha+1}$  is the transitivised generic ultrapower of  $\overline{M}_\alpha$  w.r.t. the  $(\overline{M}_\alpha, (\text{NS}_{\omega_1}^+)^{M_\alpha})$ -generic filter  $g_\alpha$  and  $j_{\alpha\alpha+1}$  is the induced ultrapower embedding,
- for every limit ordinal  $\gamma \leq \omega_1$ ,  $(\overline{M}_\gamma, j_{\alpha\gamma} : \alpha < \gamma)$  is the transitivised direct limit of the system  $(\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta < \gamma)$ .

## Definition

$\overline{M}$  is called iterable if  $\overline{M} \models “\text{NS}_{\omega_1} \text{ is precipitous}”$  and for every iteration  $\text{It}$  of  $\overline{M}$  of limit length  $\delta \leq \omega_1$  the direct limit of  $\text{It}$  is wellfounded.

# Application: forcing special generic iterations

## Observation

Working in a stationary set preserving forcing extension of  $V$ , note that the following two data describe the same kind of object:

- a generic iteration  $\text{It} = (\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$  of a countable transitive model  $\overline{M}_0$  of length  $\omega_1 + 1$ , with  $\overline{M}_{\omega_1} = H_\lambda^V$ ,
- a continuous sequence  $(M_\beta)_{\beta \leq \omega_1}$  such that  $M_{\omega_1} = H_\lambda^V$  and such that for every  $\beta < \omega_1$ ,  $M_\beta \prec H_\lambda^V$  is countable and selfgeneric and  $M_{\beta+1} = \text{Hull}(M_\beta, M_\beta \cap \omega_1)$ .

# Application: forcing special generic iterations

Working under the assumption that  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$  is precipitous, we will use the projective stationary set of victorious models to show that under this assumption there exists a stationary set preserving forcing that adds a generic iteration  $\text{It} = (\overline{M}_\beta, g_\alpha, j_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1)$  of a countable transitive model  $\overline{M}_0$ , with  $\overline{M}_{\omega_1} = H_\lambda^V$ .

# The forcing $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$

Fix two regular cardinals  $|P(P(\omega_1))| < \lambda << \mu$ .

## Definition

A set  $B \in H_\mu$  is called “a building block” if it is a triple  $B = (M, z, \Sigma)$ , with:

- $M \prec H_\mu$  a victorious model,
- $\Sigma$  a winning strategy for the second player in  $G_M^{ssg}$ ,
- $z$  a finite play of the game  $G_M^{ssg}$  in which  $P2$  follows  $\Sigma$ .

# The forcing $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$

Fix two regular cardinals  $|P(P(\omega_1))| < \lambda < \mu$ .

## Definition

A set  $B \in H_\mu$  is called “a building block” if it is a triple  $B = (M, z, \Sigma)$ , with:

- $M \prec H_\mu$  a victorious model,
- $\Sigma$  a winning strategy for the second player in  $G_M^{ssg}$ ,
- $z$  a finite play of the game  $G_M^{ssg}$  in which  $P2$  follows  $\Sigma$ .

## Notation

- If  $z$  is a finite play of the game  $G_M^{ssg}$ , write  $P2(z)$  for the set of moves that have been made by  $P2$ .
- If  $B$  is a building block, then

$$\text{Ext}(B) := \text{Hull}(M, P2(z)) \prec H_\theta.$$

# The forcing $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$

Define two orders on the set of building blocks.

Let  $B_1, B_2$  be two building blocks, then:

- $B_1 < B_2 \iff B_1 \in \text{Ext}(B_2),$
- $B_1 \sqsubseteq_{\text{game}} B_2 \iff B_1 = (M, z_1, \Sigma) \text{ and } B_2 = (M, z_2, \Sigma)$   
and  $z_2$  extends  $z_1$ .



# The forcing $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$

Define two orders on the set of building blocks.

Let  $B_1, B_2$  be two building blocks, then:

- $B_1 < B_2 \iff B_1 \in \text{Ext}(B_2)$ ,
- $B_1 \sqsubseteq_{\text{game}} B_2 \iff B_1 = (M, z_1, \Sigma)$  and  $B_2 = (M, z_2, \Sigma)$  and  $z_2$  extends  $z_1$ .

## Definition (The forcing $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$ )

$p$  is a  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$ -condition iff

- $p$  is a finite set of building blocks,
- for every two building blocks  $B_1, B_2 \in p$ ,

$$B_1 > B_2 \text{ or } B_1 = B_2 \text{ or } B_1 < B_2.$$

The order on  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$ : if  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$ , then

$$q \leq p \iff (\forall B \in p)(\exists B' \in q) B \sqsubseteq_{\text{game}} B'.$$

We will conclude by sketching the behaviour of  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$  on the flipchart.

# Application: increasing $\delta_2^1$

The ordinal  $\delta_2^1$  is the supremum of all lengths of  $\Delta_2^1$ -prewellorderings of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If the universe is closed under sharps for reals, then  $\delta_2^1 = u_2$ , where  $u_2$  is the minimal ordinal  $> \omega_1$  which is  $x$ -indiscernible for every real  $x$ .

Woodin: if  $P(\omega_1)^\sharp$  exists and  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$  is saturated, then  $\delta_2^1 = \omega_2$ .

Given  $\lambda$ , does there exist a forcing that forces  $\delta_2^1$  to be at least  $\lambda$ ?

Under  $\text{NS}_{\omega_1}$  precipitous together with existence of sharps:

- Ketchersid, R., Larson, P. and Zapletal, J. (2007) *Increasing  $\delta_2^1$  and Namba-Style Forcing*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic **72**, p. 1372-1378.
- Claverie, B. and Schindler, R. (2009) *Increasing  $u_2$  by a Stationary Set Preserving Forcing*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic **74**, p. 187-200.
- the forcing  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda, \mu)$ .

**Thank you!**