

UNIQUENESS IN NON-LINEAR BELTRAMI EQUATIONS

joint work with Kari Astala, Albert Clop, Daniel Faraco, and ~~László Székelyhidi Jr.~~

QUESTION

$f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a homeomorphism, $f \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, and it solves non-linear Beltrami ~~equation~~ equation, when does two points define it uniquely?

representative for the equation
~~if there is~~ regularity between solutions, ~~as well as~~
 \mathcal{G} -compactness problems, qr-families

Elliptic PDEs in the complex plane

Complexification/notation: $z = x + iy$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\bar{\partial}f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f(z) + i\partial_y f(z)) \quad \text{Cauchy-Riemann operator}$$

$$\partial f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x f(z) - i\partial_y f(z)) \quad \text{formal adjoint}$$

1) Classical Beltrami equation

$$(B) \quad \bar{\partial}f(z) = \mu(z) \partial f(z), \quad \mu \text{ measurable}, \quad \| \mu \|_\infty \leq k < 1$$

- C -linear, ~~stays~~ characterizes 1-dimensional k -quasiregular mappings, $k = \frac{1+k}{1-k}$ natural domain of definition
- if $f \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is homeomorphic solution to (B), then any other solution g takes the form

$g = Hf$,
where H is holomorphic; Shilov factorization

- If we normalize $f(0) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$, f is uniquely defined.

i) Uniformly elliptic non-linear equations

$$(*) \quad \bar{\partial}f(z) = \mathcal{H}(z, f, \bar{\partial}f)$$

homotopic to Cauchy-Riemann component, i.e., can be continuously deformed to $\bar{\partial}f$.

$$f \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$$

Thm (EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS)

(*) admits normalized homeomorphic selection

$$\mathcal{H}: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

for $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1^\circ |\mathcal{H}(z, f, w_1) - \mathcal{H}(z, f, w_2)| \leq k |w_1 - w_2|, \quad 0 < k < 1 \\ \text{contraction w.r.t. } \bar{\partial}f\text{-variable, uniform ellipticity} \\ 2^\circ \mathcal{H}(z, f, 0) = 0 \end{array} \right.$

homogeneity

constants \Downarrow 3^o Lebesgue-measurable
in solutions

$\mathcal{H}: Z_j \times F_j \times W_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous

and increasing sequence of compact sets

$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\bigcup_j A_j$ has full measure

Astala, Iwaniec, Martin 2009

Bjarski, Iwaniec 1974, Iwaniec 1976 \mathcal{H} measurable in z
and continuous in f

Rem Lebesgue-measurability $\Rightarrow z \mapsto \mathcal{H}(z, f(z), \bar{\partial}f(z))$ is measurable

Rem ~~PROBLEMS~~ ~~PROBLEMS~~ $f(0)=0, f(1)=1$ is not
the key point, if one can normalize by $f(a)=A, f(b)=B$. Using
similarities reduces the question to 0 and 1 -case (2)

Question of uniqueness is more subtle even for a system

$$(**) \quad \bar{D}f(z) = \mathcal{H}(z, \delta f(z))$$

$$1^{\circ} |\mathcal{H}(z, w) - \mathcal{H}(z, w_0)| \leq k(z) |w - w_0|, \quad 0 \leq k(z) \leq k < 1$$

$$2^{\circ} \mathcal{H}(z, 0) \equiv 0$$

$$3^{\circ} z \mapsto \mathcal{H}(z, w) \text{ measurable}$$

Recall from tools the difference # of solutions is $K(z) - g_r$
(does not necessarily solve (**))

As mentioned, f is $K(z) - g_r$, $K = \frac{1+k}{1-k} \mathcal{H}$.

All solutions do not have the same μ in (B).

Example $|\bar{D}f(z)| = |\mathcal{H}(z, \delta f)| \leq k \text{ dist}(\mathcal{H}(z), P)$,
where P is closed and $\mathcal{H}(z, P) \equiv 0$.

Then if

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} k(z) < 3 - 2\sqrt{2} = 0.17157\dots,$$

then (**) admits a unique homeomorphic solution

$f \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = 1$.

Moreover, k is sharp, i.e., if $k > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$, there ~~are~~^{exist} \mathcal{H} with 10^{-30} which admits at least two normalized solutions.

Remarks i) 1° is for some $k < 1$ (bounds only at ∞)

ii) in terms of g_r -distortion bound $\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} K(z) < \sqrt{2}$,

iii) No uniqueness for general systems $\bar{D}f = \mathcal{H}(z, f, \delta f)$
no matter how small the k is!

Proof Assume there exist two normalized homeomorphic solutions $f, g \in W_{loc}^{1,2} \subset W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ to (**).

Claim

Let $K_\infty = \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} K(z) < \sqrt{2}$. Then for any $K_0 > K_\infty$.

$$|f(z)| \leq C_0 (1+|z|)^{K_0} \quad \text{and} \quad |g(z)| \leq C_0 (1+|z|)^{K_0}$$

Indeed, f, g are $K(z)$ -qc and we can decompose

$$f = H \circ F,$$

where H, F are normalized qc homeomorphisms and

$$\mu_F = \chi_{\mathbb{C} \setminus D(0, R)} \mu_f.$$

Further, we may choose R so large that F is K_0 -qc in \mathbb{C} . Then, by quasisymmetry,

$$\frac{1}{C_0} |z|^{K_0} \leq |F(z)| \leq C_0 |z|^{K_0}, \quad z \neq 0.$$

Since H is conformal near ∞ , $H(z) = Cz + O(z^{-1})$, the claim follows.

The difference $f-g$ is quasiregular, but not necessarily injective. By Stoilow factorization

$$f-g = P \circ h,$$

where P is holomorphic and h is normalized $K(z)$ -qc.

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P(h(z))| &= |f(z) - g(z)| \stackrel{\text{Claim}}{\leq} C_0 |z|^{k_0} \\
 &= C_0 |h^{-1}(h(z))|^{k_0} \leq C_0 |h(z)|^{k_0 \|K\|_\infty}, \\
 &\quad \uparrow \\
 &\quad h^{-1} \text{ is } \|K(z)\|_\infty - \text{qc}
 \end{aligned}$$

$|z|.$

Hence P is a polynomial.

Two zeros, at $z=0$ and $z=1$, imply $\deg(P) \geq 2$.

As above we can decompose $h = H_1 \circ F_1$.

Thus $\frac{1}{C_0} |z|^{1/k_0} \leq |h(z)|$.

Combining estimates, for $|z|$ large,

$$\frac{1}{C_0} |z|^{2/k_0} \leq |P(h(z))| = |f(z) - g(z)| \leq C_0 |z|^{k_0}.$$

This implies $k_0 \geq N_2$,

Counterexample for $k > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$

For any $0 < t < 1$, set

$$F_t(z) = \begin{cases} (1+t)z/|z| - tz^2, & |z| > 1 \\ (1+t)|z| - tz^2, & |z| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$G_t(z) = \begin{cases} (1+t)z/|z| - tz, & |z| > 1 \\ z, & |z| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Remarks i) Both normalized at $z=0$ and $z=1$.

ii) modifications of radial stretching $z \mapsto z/|z|$

iii) difference is a polynomial $t(z-z^2)$ vanishing at $z=0$ and $z=1$.

Next, reduce distortion constant by composing with extra qc-factor

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} z/|z|^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} - 1, & |z| > 1 \\ z, & |z| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$f_t(z) = F_t \circ \varphi$$

$$g_t(z) = G_t \circ \varphi$$

$$\boxed{t \rightarrow 0} \quad K_{f_t} \rightarrow \sqrt{2}, \quad K_{g_t} \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$$

$$K_{f_t-g_t} \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}$$

Define for fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$w \mapsto J_t(z, w)$ as

$$J_t(z, 0) = 0, \quad J_t(z, \partial f_t(z)) = \bar{\partial} f_t(z), \quad J_t(z, \partial g_t(z)) = \bar{\partial} g_t(z) \quad (6)$$

$\max \{ \cdot \} - \text{Lipschitz}$

Extend by Kirschbraun extension theorem
to whole plane.

Constructive proof shows that the extension is
measurable in z -variable.



More symmetries

- $H(z, 1) = \sigma \Rightarrow$ the identity is a solution
 - unique if $k(z) < \frac{1}{2}$ near ∞
 - unique if there exists a path γ between 0 and 1
s.t. $H(\gamma, \gamma(t)) \in L^{p_0}(C)$, $p_0 < 2$, uniformly in t
- R-homogeneous in the ~~the~~ second variable
- $\bar{\partial}f = \partial\ell(\partial f)$ homeomorphic solutions are affine