Latent ion tracks in amorphous silicon

T. Bierschenk,^{1,*} R. Giulian,^{1,†} B. Afra,¹ M.D. Rodriguez,¹ D. Schauries,¹
S. Mudie,² O.H. Pakarinen,^{3,4} F. Djurabekova,⁴ K. Nordlund,⁴ O.

Osmani,^{5,6} N. Medvedev,⁷ B. Rethfeld,⁶ M.C. Ridgway,¹ and P. Kluth¹

¹Research School of Physics and Engineering,
Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
²Australian Synchrotron, Clayton, VIC 3168, Australia
³Materials Science and Technology Division,
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA
⁴Helsinki Institute of Physics and Department of Physics,
University of Helsinki, P.O.Box 43, 00014 Helsinki, Finland
⁵Fakultät für Physik, Universitt Duisburg-Essen, 47057 Duisburg, Germany
⁶Department of Physics and OPTIMAS Research Center,
Technical University of Kaiserslautern, 67653 Kaiserslautern, Germany
⁷Center for Free-Electron Laser Science at DESY,
Notkestr. 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany

(Dated: November 11, 2013)

Abstract

We present experimental evidence for the formation of ion tracks in amorphous Si induced by swift heavy ion irradiation. An underlying core-shell structure consistent with remnants of a high density liquid structure was revealed by small-angle x-ray scattering and molecular dynamics simulations. Ion track dimensions differ for as-implanted and relaxed Si as attributed to different microstructures and melting temperatures. The identification and characterisation of ion tracks in amorphous Si yields new insight into mechanisms of damage formation due to swift heavy ion irradiation in amorphous semiconductors.

PACS numbers: 61.80.-x, 61.72.uf, 61.05.cf, 61.43.Bn

I. INTRODUCTION

Inelastic interactions of projectile ions and target electrons dominate the energy loss for swift heavy ions (SHIs) and result in intense electronic excitation which can produce a narrow region of permanent damage along the ion trajectory, a so called ion track. The later stages of ion track formation, after the initial electronic excitation, are well described by a thermal spike mechanism^{1,2}. Within this formalism, the material specific electron-phonon coupling governs the transfer of the deposited energy to the target atoms which induces a local increase in the lattice temperature. For sufficiently high electronic energy deposition, the melting temperature of the substrate can be exceeded and a molten zone is formed along the ion trajectory. After rapid re-solidification, a defective cylindrical region of high aspect ratio, an ion track, remains.

Ion track formation in amorphous semiconductors has long been postulated. Hedler et al.^{3,4} invoked ion track formation in amorphous Si (a-Si) in support of their claim for liquid Si polymorphism, specifically the existence of a low-density liquid (LDL) Si phase in addition to the well known high-density liquid (HDL) Si phase. Re-solidification of LDL Si to low-density amorphous (LDA) Si then represents a glass transition for elemental Si. Under SHI irradiation, LDA Si was observed to deform plastically³ as consistent with the ion hammering mechanism and attributed to ion track formation. Indirect evidence of ion track formation in a-Si has thus been reported. Crystalline Si (c-Si), however, is much less susceptible to radiation damage from SHIs and requires much higher values of electronic energy deposition S_e for damage formation. Ion track formation, for example, was only reported for irradiation with fullerene molecular ions with a threshold of $S_e = 37 \,\mathrm{keV/nm^{5-7}}$ whereas no signature of ion tracks was observed for irradiations with single SHIs. Mixing experiments of a Ni-Si interface under irradiation⁸ with single SHIs suggest that melting does occur in c-Si for lower values of S_e . The molten ion track, however, appears to fully recrystallise upon cooling indicating that melting cannot be the only criterion for ion track formation in c-Si. Ion track formation in polycrystalline Si has been reported by Furuno et $al.^9$, however, the irradiation was performed on 5 nm thin evaporated layers and the nature of the ion tracks was not determined.

While Hedler *et al.*^{3,4} postulated an ion track radius of $\approx 3 \text{ nm}$ in a-Si at 14.6 keV/nm (their experimentally-determined threshold for plastic deformation), experimental verifica-

tion of ion track formation in a-Si has been lacking due to the difficulties in detection caused by the lack of contrast between the amorphous ion track and the surrounding amorphous matrix. We have recently identified and characterised ion tracks in amorphous metals¹⁰, silica (a-SiO₂)^{11,12}, and Ge (a-Ge)¹³ by utilising synchrotron based small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS). In the present study we provide direct and definitive experimental evidence for ion tracks in a-Si by combining SAXS with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Our theoretical approach uses Monte Carlo two-temperature model (MC-TTM) calculations as input for the MD simulations yielding a full atomistic model of ion track formation without the need to assume melting as a criterion. The results reveal an underlying core-shell morphology and suggest, overall, that an ion track in a-Si is of greater density than the unirradiated matrix.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A 1.4 μ m thin surface layer of bulk c-Si(100) was rendered amorphous by ion implantation of Ge ions at multiple energies between 80 and 1450 keV. With an average Ge concentration of 1.7×10^{19} cm⁻³, compositional changes due to the Ge implantation can be neglected. To investigate the difference in ion track formation between as-implanted and relaxed a-Si, selected samples were annealed at 450 °C for 30 min. Annealing at this temperature yields a reduction of point defects and dangling bonds (relaxation) but not recrystallisation¹⁴.

The samples were irradiated with Au ions at 89 and 185 MeV at the ANU Heavy-Ion Accelerator Facility. Irradiation was performed at room temperature under normal incidence with fluences ranging from $6 \times 10^{10} \text{ ions/cm}^2$ to $5 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/cm}^2$. The electronic energy deposition in a-Si, as calculated using SRIM 2008¹⁵, is listed in table I. The variation of S_e over the thin layer was $\leq 3\%$.

SAXS measurements were performed at the SAXS/ WAXS beamline of the Australian Synchrotron using camera lengths between 968 and 7327 mm and an x-ray energy of 12 keV. Before the measurements, the majority of the c-Si substrate was removed by mechanically polishing to a thickness of $\approx 25 \,\mu\text{m}$ to reduce parasitic x-ray scattering from the substrate. Under the given irradiation conditions, no ion tracks were observed in a c-Si sample without pre-amorphisation (not shown) consistent with reported threshold values of S_e required for ion track formation in this phase^{6,8}. No ion tracks were thus present in the c-Si substrate beneath the a-Si layer. Measurements were performed either with the sample surface aligned normal to the x-ray beam, i.e. parallel to the ion tracks, or under an angle of 10° . SAXS



FIG. 1. Scattering images for ion tracks aligned (a) and tilted (b) with respect to the incoming x-ray beam.

images for these geometries are shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively. The straight lines in Fig. 1(a) and the short dashes in Fig. 1(b), which can also be observed in unirradiated samples, indicate parasitic scattering unrelated to ion tracks. When the sample surface is aligned perpendicular to the x-ray beam, isotropic scattering can be observed as shown in Fig. 1(a) which is consistent with ion tracks aligned normal to the sample surface. In Fig. 1 (b) narrow curved streaks are apparent in the image reflecting the high aspect ratio of the ion tracks (several nanometres in width but micrometers in length)¹¹ when the sample is tilted. The isotropic scattering and the anisotropic streaks contain identical information on the radial ion track dimensions. The width of the streaks in Fig. 1(b) at a given angle is related to the length of the ion tracks but also depends on stresses in the sample as well as deviations from a perfectly parallel alignment of ion tracks. Discontinuous ion tracks with individual fragment lengths up to 50 times the ion track diameter would cause a drastic broadening of the streaks under the given angle. No significant broadening of the streaks was observed for all samples used in this work from which we conclude ion track fragmentation

(the error is the standard deviation of S_e within the layer).						
a-Si	$E \; [{\rm MeV}]$	$S_e \; [{\rm keV/nm}]$	$R_c \; [\mathrm{nm}]$	$T_s \; [\mathrm{nm}]$	$R \; [\mathrm{nm}]$	$ ho_s/ ho_c$
as-implanted	89	$10.6 {\pm} 0.4$	2.3 ± 0.1	5.0 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.4	-0.07 ± 0.02
	185	$15.6{\pm}0.2$	2.5 ± 0.1	5.4 ± 0.3	7.9 ± 0.4	-0.06 ± 0.02
relaxed	185	$15.6 {\pm} 0.2$	1.8 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.3	5.5 ± 0.3	-0.07 ± 0.02

TABLE I. Irradiation conditions and results from the SAXS analysis. The electronic energy deposition, S_e , was calculated by the SRIM2008 code¹⁵ and averaged over the 1.4 μ m thin a-Si layer (the error is the standard deviation of S_e within the layer).

was not present.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. As-implanted a-Si

Scattering spectra of as-implanted a-Si irradiated with 185 MeV Au ions are depicted in Fig. 2. The scattering intensities shown in the spectra were extracted from the streaks of the scattering images (Fig. 1 (b)) by applying a narrow mask along the streaks, angularly integrating over areas of constant q-value within the mask and normalising to the width of the mask. The scattering intensity scales approximately with the irradiation fluence, i.e. the number of scattering objects, confirming the absence of interparticle scattering as expected from randomly distributed well separated ion tracks¹¹. Fits (solid lines in Fig. 2), assuming a cylindrical core-shell structure for the ion tracks, are in excellent agreement with the measured SAXS spectra. The scattering amplitude for this geometry is given by¹²:

$$f_1(q) = \frac{2\pi L\rho_c}{q} \left[(1 - \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c})R_c \mathbf{J}_1(R_c q) + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} R \mathbf{J}_1(Rq) \right]$$
(1)

with the ion track length L, the scattering contrasts (density change) with respect to the matrix material ρ_c and ρ_s of core and shell, respectively, the total ion track radius $R = R_c + T_s$ (with core radius R_c and shell thickness T_s) and the first order Bessel function J_1 . Similar to our previous studies¹², a narrow Gaussian distribution of the radius (with a standard deviation of < 10%) was used to account for slight variations of the ion track radius and any deviation from the parallel alignment of the ion tracks. When averaged over different samples irradiated under the same conditions and measured in separate experiments, ion



FIG. 2. SAXS spectra (background subtracted) of ion tracks in as-implanted a-Si irradiated with 185 MeV Au ions. Solid lines are fits using a cylindrical core-shell model (Eq. 1).

tracks in as-implanted a-Si show an overall radius of 7.9 ± 0.4 nm comprised of a 2.5 ± 0.1 nm core radius and 5.4 ± 0.3 nm shell thickness (see Tab. I). Based on the ratio of the relative scattering contrast of core and shell $\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c} = -0.07 \pm 0.02$, the density change for the core compared to the surrounding a-Si matrix is approximately 15 times larger than for the shell. As a consequence of the negative sign of $\frac{\rho_s}{\rho_c}$ either core or shell must be over-dense and its counterpart under-dense with respect to the a-Si matrix. The radial density of the ion tracks, based on the fit parameters, is shown in the inset of Fig. 3. We note that the core is the dominant feature of the ion track and its dimensions are in good agreement with the 3 nm ion track radius predicted from the ion hammering experiments for similar S_e values³. To validate our use for a core-shell model, a comparison with a simple cylinder model with a constant density was performed. The scattering amplitude of a simple cylinder is given by¹⁶

$$f_2(q) = \frac{2\pi L R \rho_0}{q} \mathbf{J}_1(Rq), \tag{2}$$



FIG. 3. Comparison of simple (dashed line, Eq. 2) and core-shell (solid line, Eq. 1) cylinder models in case of as-implanted a-Si irradiated with 185 MeV Au ions $(1 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/cm}^2)$. The inset shows the magnitude of the model radial density distribution function $|\rho|$, scaled to the fit parameters for both models. $|\rho| = 1$ represents the density of the surrounding a-Si matrix.

where R is the ion track radius and ρ_0 the density contrast. Fits of both model functions, the core-shell (Eq. 1) and the simple cylinder (Eq. 2), are compared in Fig. 3 with the inset showing the radial density distribution for the two models scaled according to the fit parameters. The dimension of the ion track in the simple cylinder model is in good agreement with the core dimensions of the core-shell model. In contrast to the core-shell model however, the simple cylinder model cannot reproduce the low scattering intensity for $q \leq 0.04 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ demonstrating the core-shell model is the most appropriate of the two.

Ion tracks in as-implanted a-Si were also observed for irradiation with 89 MeV Au ions (see Fig. 4). At the lower irradiation energy, a core-shell structure is retained but the radius is slightly reduced as consistent with the reduced electronic energy deposition (10.6 keV/nm) at 89 MeV. An accurate extrapolation to a threshold of S_e for ion track formation in a-Si requires a larger data set given the non-linear relationship between ion track radii and

electronic energy deposition demonstrated in other materials e.g. $a-SiO_2^{12}$. None the less, the value of 10.6 keV/nm represents an upper limit for the threshold of S_e and is less than that reported for ion hammering and plastic deformation³. This suggests ion track formation may not be the only process operative during ion hammering.



FIG. 4. Comparison of SAXS spectra of as-implanted a-Si irradiated at 185 MeV and 89 MeV (both $1 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/cm}^2$) and relaxed a-Si irradiated at 185 MeV ($3 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/cm}^2$). Solid lines are fits using a core-shell model. Spectra are offset for clarity.

B. Relaxed a-Si

The core-shell structure can also be observed for ion tracks in relaxed a-Si with an ion track radius $\approx 30\%$ less than in as-implanted material (see Fig. 4 and table I). Ion implanted a-Si in a relaxed state has no measurable difference in mass density to as-implanted a-Si,

however, during relaxation short range ordering occurs where defects and dangling bonds are reduced in number and the material moves structurally closer to an ideal continuous random network^{14,17,18}. In addition to structural differences, relaxation yields a ≈ 150 K increase in melting temperature relative to that of as-implanted a-Si^{19,20}. If the measured ion track diameter is representative of the maximum width of the molten zone along the ion trajectory, the increase in melting temperature for relaxed a-Si necessarily yields a decrease in ion track diameter. Though the width of the molten cylinders in as-implanted and relaxed a-Si are of different diameter, we anticipate the mechanism for ion track formation in the these two, subtly different forms of a-Si to be the same.



FIG. 5. Ion track density profiles of MD simulation (five point average, 200 ps after energy deposition) and SAXS measurements (185 MeV Au). The inset shows the time evolution of the radial MD temperature profile (kinetic energy in units of K). The dotted lines indicate the melting temperature of as-implanted and relaxed a-Si^{19,20} and the corresponding radius of the temperature profile.

IV. MD SIMULATIONS

The scattering intensity measured with SAXS is proportional to the square of the scattering amplitude and, thus, the magnitude but not the sign of the density profile is accessible. Thus both scenarios, an under-dense core with an over-dense shell and vice versa, must be considered. Further insight into the radial density distribution was obtained by performing MD simulations using the PARCAS code²¹ and Tersoff III potential²² which produces a reasonable description of the solid-liquid-solid phase transformations in a-Si. The initial a-Si was created from c-Si using the well established WWW approach²³ in a cell of dimensions $29.5 \times 29.5 \times 19.3 \,\mathrm{nm^3}$. MC-TTM calculations describing the time-dependent energy transfer between the electronic and lattice subsystems were used as input for the MD simulations. The energy deposition that was previously used for a-Ge¹³ was scaled according to the different electronic energy deposition in Ge and Si of 22 and 15.6 keV/nm, respectively, as obtained by $SRIM2008^{15}$, leading to an energy of 6.02 keV/nm deposited in the MD cell. To mimic heat conduction further into the surrounding cooler material, 0.5 nm thick boundary regions in x and y directions were maintained at $300 \,\mathrm{K}$ by Berendsen temperature control²⁴. Immediately after deposition, there is a rapid non-equilibrium expansion leading to a wide region of more than 9 nm in diameter of somewhat under-dense Si. At 3 to 5 ps, a phase transition to over-dense Si occurs with a density about 3% higher than the unirradiated material. This agrees well with the measured phase transition time delay of about 5 ps reported by M. Beye *et al.*²⁵. The cooling stage that follows lowers the density difference continuously to about 1% in about 50 ps and defines the outer radius of the under-dense region more sharply during the remaining 150 ps of simulation time.

The MD result for the radial density distribution across the ion track at a thermally stable state 200 ps after the initial energy deposition is shown in Fig. 5. With a relative density of one corresponding to that of the initial a-Si cell, a distinct core-shell structure with an over-dense core extending to ≈ 4 nm and a slightly under-dense shell extending to ≈ 9 nm is clear. The radial density distribution derived from the SAXS measurements is also included in Fig. 5 and qualitative agreement with the MD simulation is readily apparent. In contrast, the use of the Stillinger-Weber potential²⁶ in the MD simulations led to a more pronounced core-shell structure as attributed to the less realistic description of the phase transitions.

The inset of Fig. 5 shows the radial MD temperature profile. Assuming only the melting criterion, the ion track radius for the different melting temperatures of as-implanted and relaxed a-Si^{19,20} can be estimated to $\approx 8\%$. The observed 30% change is thus a likely consequence of microstructural differences in addition to differences in only the melting temperature.

Given the volumes of core and shell and their relative densities, the ion track as a whole is of greater density than the unirradiated matrix. We suggest the over-dense ion track core could conceivably contain frozen-in structural remnants of the molten HDL Si phase, potentially in the form of the pressure induced high-density amorphous (HDA) Si phase reported by McMillan²⁷. The rapid cooling rate associated with re-solidification of an ion track may well be sufficient to quench in metastable HDA Si. Alternatively, SAXS determinations of core-shell structures for ion tracks in $a-SiO_2^{12}$ or $a-Ge^{13}$ were consistent with an underdense core and over-dense shell as attributed to radially outward material flow^{13,28}. While the SAXS experiment cannot unambiguously establish the sign of the density of core and shell, the MD simulations support the notion of ion tracks in a-Si consisting of an over-dense core and under-dense shell.

At first glance, the results presented above could be perceived as contradictory to the well-known Trinkaus model^{29,30} given frozen-in structural remnants of HDL Si should yield a negative relaxation strain and negative deformation yield, the latter not consistent with experimental measurements of this parameter³. However, it is important to note that our low-fluence ($< 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/cm}^2$) experiments were designed to minimise ion track overlap. In contrast, a measurable deformation yield requires an incubation fluence³¹ of $\approx 1 \times 10^{12} \text{ ions/cm}^2$ and is commonly characterised in the fluence range $(1 - 10) \times 10^{14} \text{ ions/cm}^2$ where incoming ions are incident on material already subjected to hundreds or thousands of ion impacts³. As a consequence, the material is overall modified at the onset of deformation conceivably compacted taking into account the net densification in a single ion track. Thus, further irradiation cannot necessarily be expected to yield further compaction. This is consistent with SHI irradiation induced density fluctuations in SiO₂ that reach a steady state when the material is fully covered by ion tracks³².

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, experimental evidence for ion track formation following swift heavy ion irradiation of a-Si has been presented. SAXS measurements revealed an underlying coreshell structure in the radial density distribution. MD simulations support the supposition of an over-dense core and slightly under-dense shell compared with the unirradiated a-Si matrix consistent with frozen in structural remnants of the high-density liquid phase. The formation of ion tracks was observed in as-implanted a-Si at an electronic energy deposition as low as 10.6 keV/nm which thus represents an upper limit for the threshold of S_e required for ion track formation. Differences in the ion track dimensions between relaxed and asimplanted a-Si have been related to microstructural differences and the increased melting temperature of the former. The observed density fluctuations at the nanoscale in ion tracks in a-Si bring new insights into the early stage of damage formation under high electronic energy deposition and may provide a pathway to stabilise high-density amorphous Si at ambient temperature and pressure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Australian Research Council, the Australian Synchrotron, the IT Center for Science CSC Finland, the supercomputer centre NERSC which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support. OHP is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division. Part of the research was undertaken at the SAXS/WAXS beamline of the Australian Synchrotron, Victoria, Australia, the Canberra node of the Australian National Fabrication Facility and the ANU Heavy-Ion Accelerator Facility (HIAF). We thank the ANU HIAF staff for technical support.

^{*} thomas.bierschenk@anu.edu.au

 [†] now at Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, C.P. 15051, 91500-970
 Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brasil

¹ M. Toulemonde, C. Dufour, and E. Paumier, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 14362 (1992).

- ² I. M. Lifshits, M. I. Kaganov, and L. V. Tanatarov, J. Nucl. Eng. Part A **12**, 69 (1960).
- ³ A. Hedler, S. L. Klaumünzer, and W. Wesch, Nat. Mater. **3**, 804 (2004).
- ⁴ A. Hedler, S. Klaumünzer, and W. Wesch, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B **242**, 85 (2006).
- ⁵ A. Dunlop, G. Jaskierowicz, and S. Della-Negra, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B **146**, 302 (1998).
- ⁶ A. Kamarou, W. Wesch, E. Wendler, A. Undisz, and M. Rettenmayr, Phys. Rev. B 78, 054111 (2008).
- ⁷ B. Canut, N. Bonardi, S. Ramos, and S. Della-Negra, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B **146**, 296 (1998).
- ⁸ A. Chettah, H. Kucal, Z. Wang, M. Kac, A. Meftah, and M. Toulemonde, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 267, 2719 (2009).
- ⁹ S. Furuno, H. Otsu, K. Hojou, and K. Izui, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B **107**, 223 (1996).
- ¹⁰ M. Rodrguez, B. Afra, C. Trautmann, M. Toulemonde, T. Bierschenk, J. Leslie, R. Giulian, N. Kirby, and P. Kluth, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **358**, 571 (2012).
- ¹¹ P. Kluth, C. Schnohr, D. Sprouster, A. Byrne, D. Cookson, and M. Ridgway, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 266, 2994 (2008).
- ¹² P. Kluth, C. S. Schnohr, O. H. Pakarinen, F. Djurabekova, D. J. Sprouster, R. Giulian, M. C. Ridgway, A. P. Byrne, C. Trautmann, D. J. Cookson, K. Nordlund, and M. Toulemonde, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 175503 (2008).
- ¹³ M. C. Ridgway, T. Bierschenk, R. Giulian, B. Afra, M. D. Rodriguez, L. L. Araujo, A. P. Byrne, N. Kirby, O. H. Pakarinen, F. Djurabekova, K. Nordlund, M. Schleberger, O. Osmani, N. Medvedev, B. Rethfeld, and P. Kluth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 245502 (2013).
- ¹⁴ B. Haberl, A. C. Y. Liu, J. E. Bradby, S. Ruffell, J. S. Williams, and P. Munroe, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 155209 (2009).
- ¹⁵ J. Ziegler, J. Biersack, and M. Ziegler, URL www. srim. org (1985).
- ¹⁶ B. Afra, M. Rodriguez, M. Lang, R. Ewing, N. Kirby, C. Trautmann, and P. Kluth, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 286, 243 (2012).
- ¹⁷ J. S. Custer, M. O. Thompson, D. C. Jacobson, J. M. Poate, S. Roorda, W. C. Sinke, and F. Spaepen, Appl. Phys. Lett. **64**, 437 (1994).
- ¹⁸ S. Roorda, W. C. Sinke, J. M. Poate, D. C. Jacobson, S. Dierker, B. S. Dennis, D. J. Eaglesham, F. Spaepen, and P. Fuoss, Phys. Rev. B 44, 3702 (1991).
- ¹⁹ M. G. Grimaldi, P. Baeri, M. A. Malvezzi, and C. Sirtori, Int. J. of Thermophys. **13**, 141 (1992).

- ²⁰ M. G. Grimaldi, P. Baeri, and M. A. Malvezzi, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 1546 (1991).
- ²¹ K. Nordlund, M. Ghaly, R. S. Averback, M. Caturla, T. Diaz de la Rubia, and J. Tarus, Phys. Rev. B 57, 7556 (1998).
- ²² J. Tersoff, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 9902 (1988).
- ²³ F. Wooten, K. Winer, and D. Weaire, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1392 (1985).
- ²⁴ H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, A. DiNola, and J. R. Haak, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 3684 (1984).
- ²⁵ M. Beye, F. Sorgenfrei, W. F. Schlotter, W. Wurth, and A. Föhlisch, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 16772 (2010).
- ²⁶ F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 5262 (1985).
- ²⁷ P. F. McMillan, M. Wilson, D. Daisenberger, and D. Machon, Nat. Mater. 4, 680 (2005).
- ²⁸ K. Gärtner, J. Jöhrens, T. Steinbach, C. S. Schnohr, M. C. Ridgway, and W. Wesch, Phys. Rev. B 83, 224106 (2011).
- ²⁹ H. Trinkaus and A. I. Ryazanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5072 (1995).
- ³⁰ H. Trinkaus, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B **146**, 204 (1998).
- ³¹ S. Klaumünzer, M.-D. Hou, and G. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 850 (1986).
- ³² P. Kluth, O. H. Pakarinen, F. Djurabekova, R. Giulian, M. C. Ridgway, A. P. Byrne, and K. Nordlund, J. Appl. Phys. **110**, 123520 (2011).