

prove more important in the interpretation of some outcomes (e.g., chest pain) than of others (e.g., mortality). If possible, of course, it is better to keep both subjects and investigators ignorant of the treatment status, as this will minimize the possibility of actions on the part of either group that could bias the results. When complications of disease or therapy arise that necessitate knowledge of the specific therapy to which the patient has been assigned, this information usually can be given to one or more physicians external to the study who can decide on the proper course of action. If the therapy under study is a drug, the blinding is generally done simply by preparing a placebo identical in appearance to the active agent. However, one study in which the identical appearance of drug and placebo was achieved, but blinding was not, is instructive to review here:

Example. In the early 1970s, healthy adults were enrolled in an experimental study in which they were asked to take either vitamin C (3 g/day) or a lactose placebo for 9 months, during which time the incidence of colds was monitored (Karlowski et al., 1975). Because during the follow-up period some subjects indicated that they were biting into and tasting the preparation that they had been given, the investigators asked all subjects at the conclusion of the study to guess the group to which they had been assigned. Of the 102 who attempted a guess, 79 were correct (77%). The following table summarizes the incidence of colds in persons assigned to each of the two treatment groups, as well as in the subgroup of subjects who guessed incorrectly:

<u>Treatment guessed</u>	<u>Treatment received</u>	<u>No. of subjects</u>	<u>No. with ≥2 colds</u>
Vitamin C	Placebo	11	2 (18%)
—	Vitamin C	101	36 (36%)
Placebo	Vitamin C	12	8 (67%)
—	Placebo	89	42 (47%)

In the group assigned to receive placebo, there was an overall excess (47% vs. 36%) in the percentage of subjects with two or more colds. However, a larger difference was associated with a subject's believing he or she was assigned to a particular group: 36% of subjects assigned to receive vitamin C had two or more colds, twice the incidence in persons who, though they actually were taking placebo, thought they were taking vitamin C. A similar difference was found for persons receiving the vitamin but believing it was a placebo—their incidence was higher than persons receiving placebo (67% vs. 47%). Since a subject's suspicion of the group to which he or she had been assigned so strongly influenced the results, and since a subject's suspicion was much more often right than wrong, the validity of the vitamin C-placebo comparison was seriously compromised.

70 Clinical Epidemiology

- Committee of Principal Investigators: A co-operative trial in the primary prevention of ischaemic heart disease using clofibrate. *Br Heart J* 1978; 40:1069-1118.
- Coope J, Thomson JM, Poller L: Effects of "natural estrogen" replacement therapy on menopausal symptoms and blood clotting. *Br Med J* 1975; 4:139-143.
- Coronary Drug Project Research Group: Aspirin in coronary heart disease. *J Chron Dis* 1976; 29:625-642.
- Coronary Drug Project Research Group: Influence of adherence to treatment and response of cholesterol on mortality in the coronary drug project. *N Engl J Med* 1980; 303:1038-1041.
- Dayton S, Pearce ML, Hashimoto S, et al.: A controlled clinical trial of a diet high in unsaturated fat in preventing complications of atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 1969; 39-40 (suppl 2): 1-63.
- DeSilva RA, Hennekens CH, Lown B, et al.: Lignocaine prophylaxis in acute myocardial infarction: An evaluation of randomised trials. *Lancet* 1981; 2:855-858.
- Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group: Photocoagulation treatment of proliferative diabetic retinopathy: Clinical application of diabetic retinopathy study (DRS) findings. DRS Report Number 8. *Ophthalmology* 1981; 88:583-600.
- Ellenberg SS: Randomization designs in comparative clinical trials. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310:1404-1408.
- Gilchrest BA, Rowe JW, Brown RS, et al.: Ultraviolet phototherapy of uremic pruritus: Long-term results and possible mechanism of action. *Ann Intern Med* 1979; 91:17-21.
- Hills M, Armitage P: The two-period cross-over clinical trial. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1979; 8:7-20.
- Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group: Five-year findings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program: I. Reduction in mortality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. *JAMA* 1979a; 242:2562-2571.
- Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group. Five-year findings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program: II. Mortality by race-sex and age. *JAMA* 1979b; 242:2572-2577.
- Javid MJ, Nordby EJ, Ford LT, et al.: Safety and efficacy of chymopapain (Chymodiactin) in herniated nucleus pulposus with sciatica: Results of a randomized, double-blind study. *JAMA* 1983; 249:2489-2494.
- Karlowski TR, Chalmers TC, Frenkel LD, et al.: Ascorbic acid for the common cold: A prophylactic and therapeutic trial. *JAMA* 1975; 231:1038-1042.
- Lipid Research Clinics Program: The Lipid Research Clinics coronary primary prevention trial results. *JAMA* 1984; 251:351-364.
- Lorenz RL, Weber M, Kotzur J, et al.: Improved aortocoronary bypass patency by low-dose aspirin (100 mg daily): Effects on platelet aggregation and thromboxane formation. *Lancet* 1984; 1:1261-1264.
- Louis TA, Lavori PW, Bailar JC, et al.: Crossover and self-controlled designs in clinical research. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310:24-31.
- Mitchell JRA: Blood pressure and mortality in the very old. *Lancet* 1983; 2:1248.
- Peto R, Pike MC, Armitage NE, et al.: Design and analysis of randomized clinical

Monographs in Epidemiology and Biostatistics
Volume 11

Clinical Epidemiology: The Study of the Outcome of Illness

NOEL S. WEISS

New York Oxford
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
1986

Contents

1. Clinical Epidemiology: What It Is and How It Is Used 3
 2. Diagnostic and Screening Tests: What Information Is Needed Before Developing a Policy for Their Use? 14
 3. Diagnostic and Screening Tests: Measuring Their Role in Improving the Outcome of Illness 33
 4. Therapeutic Efficacy: Experimental Studies 48
 5. Therapeutic Efficacy: Nonexperimental Studies 72
 6. Therapeutic Safety 90
 7. Natural History of Illness 118
- Appendix:** Some Methodologic Tools Useful in the Planning and Analysis of Clinical Epidemiologic Research 129
- Index 143**