Repetitive studies have shown that both animals and man have a decreased resistance to infections of various kinds when suffering from vitamin deficiencies. Apparently this may be true for each of the better known vitamins. On the other hand, it has not been shown by adequately controlled experiments that the addition of any of the vitamins to a reasonably adequate diet produces increased resistance to infections of the upper respiratory tract, the millions of dollars' worth of vitamin preparations which are sold each year for this alleged purpose notwithstanding.

Most of the studies of vitamins for the prevention of colds have been limited to vitamin A alone or to vitamins A and D as contained in cod liver oil. The experiments with vitamin A have resulted almost uniformly in negative results, while cod liver oil has been reported by a number of authors to reduce the severity and by some the frequency of colds. Most of the latter reports, however, are based on inadequately controlled studies.

Investigations of the use of multiple vitamins in the prevention of colds have been very few. Stone and her co-workers reported that the daily administration of concentrates of vitamins A, B₁, B₂, and D were effective in reducing the incidence of infections of the upper respiratory tract in 11 students. These few subjects however, were in poor general physical condition, in addition to being very susceptible to colds, and the observations extended only over a period of three and one-half months.

Kuttner studied 108 rheumatic children in a domiciliary institution. All these children were on the regular institutional diet, but one half of them received each day, in addition to the regular diet, 15,000 U.S.P. units of vitamin A, 1,870 U.S.P. units of vitamin D, 2,000 international units of vitamin C, 1,000 international units of vitamin B₁₂, 480 Sherman-Bourquin units of vitamin B₁₂, 40 “rat day” units of vitamin B₁₂, approximately 40 growth units of “filtrate factor” and the equivalent of 50 Gm. of whole liver in nicotinic acid or one of its derivatives. Both groups were observed from January 1 to June 1, 1939 and from Dec. 1, 1939 to May 1, 1940. The conclusion of the author was that this study did not present any evidence that the addition of these vitamins to an ordinary, well balanced diet reduced the incidence of infections of the upper respiratory tract.

**Outline of Study**

The present investigation concerns the value of large doses of vitamin C alone (1939-1940 series) and of large doses of mixed vitamins (1940-1941 series) in the prevention of colds. As in our previous cold prevention studies, the subjects were all students of the University of Minnesota who volunteered to participate in the study because they were particularly susceptible to colds.

Students applied for admission to the “Cold Prevention Group” soon after school started in the fall quarter. At this time a record was made of each applicant’s recent history of acute infections of the upper part of the respiratory tract with particular attention to the number and severity of colds experienced during the previous two years, the type of these colds, their duration and like information. In order to exclude from the study persons whose difficulties seemed to be due primarily to chronic sinusitis or allergic rhinitis, special attention was paid to the condition of the nose and throat and to symptoms suggestive of allergy. As in previous studies, the students were assigned alternately and without selection to an experimental and to a control group. The students in the control group were treated exactly like those in the experimental groups except that they received placebos instead of the vitamin preparations.

**The Reporting of Colds**

The students in all groups were instructed to report to the Health Service whenever a cold developed so that a special report card could be filled in by a physician indicating the type of cold, the symptoms and the like. In addition, all students were interviewed every three months in order to check the completeness of the reports filled out at the time of each respiratory infection.

During the second year of the study (1940-1941) a supplementary check on the accuracy of reporting was instituted. This consisted in having each student fill out and return to the Health Service each week the special report card shown in figure 1. This card is
similar to the one used by Stafford and provides an accurate check on the incidence of colds as well as some information as to the relative severity of each cold reported.

The data contained on these weekly report cards were transferred to each student's "master card," which was kept on file in the Health Service (fig. 2). At the end of the school year these various reports were summarized according to experimental and control groups.

DAILY USE OF LARGE DOSES OF VITAMIN C

At the beginning of the school year 1939-1940, 427 were enrolled in the "cold prevention group." One hundred and eighty-three of these were supplied with 100 mg. tablets of synthetic ascorbic acid and were instructed to take 2 such tablets (200 mg.) daily throughout the "cold season," a total of twenty-eight weeks. Fifty other students, also supplied with 100 mg. tablets of ascorbic acid, were instructed to take 2 tablets (200 mg.) daily for the first two weeks, then 1 tablet (100 mg.) daily throughout the season unless and until an infection of the upper respiratory tract developed, in which case they were to take 5 tablets (500 mg.) on each of the first two days of the cold.

Table 1.—Vitamin C in the Prevention of Colds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin C</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects who began study</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects who completed study</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage who completed study</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of colds per person during previous year (average)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of colds per person during year of study (average)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between average number of colds in experimental and control groups</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of group who had no colds during year</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of days per person lost from school (average)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of students with colds in which complications developed</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of group reporting such reactions as headache, nausea, hot flashes and so on</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reported from memory.

after which the 100 mg. daily dose was to be resumed. Since the results of these two subgroups were not significantly different, they are presented in table 1 as a single vitamin C group.

To serve as a control group 194 students were supplied with placebo tablets of the same size, shape, appearance and taste as the ascorbic acid tablets. These students, of course, did not know that they were serving as controls. The instructions were to take 2 of these placebo tablets daily throughout the "cold season."

It will be noted that, while the students who took vitamin C throughout the "cold season" experienced only 1.9 colds per person annually during the study as compared with the reported 5.5 colds per person annually previous to the study, a reduction of 65.5 per cent, the controls reported an average of 2.2 colds per person a year during the study as compared with 5.9 colds per person annually in previous years, a reduction nearly as great, namely 62.7 per cent. The actual difference between the two groups during the year of the study amounts to one third of a cold per person. Statistical analysis of the data reveals that a difference as large as this would arise only three or four times in a hundred through chance alone. One may therefore consider this as probably a significant difference, and vitamin C supplement to the diet may therefore be judged to give a slight advantage in reducing the number of colds experienced. However, one may well question the practical importance of such a difference. There are also slight differences in favor of the vitamin C group in regard to the percentage of students who had no colds of twenty-four or more hours' duration during the year of the study and in regard to the average number of days lost from school because of colds. On the other hand, those who took the vitamin C had, if anything, more complications such as bronchitis, otitis and sinusitis than did those in the control group.

Multiple Vitamins in Cold Prevention

At the beginning of the school year 1940-1941 a total of 347 students were enrolled in the multiple vitamin study. Of this group only 264 cooperated throughout the year, which represents a smaller percentage than in most of our studies, possibly because of the increased demand made on the students during this year in the form of weekly report cards, special examinations of the nose and throat and the like. The results shown in figure 3 and table 2 are based only on those who cooperated throughout the "cold season."

At the beginning of the year 120 of these 347 students were instructed to take 2 capsules of multiple vitamins ("Heopicrin") daily throughout the "cold season."


5. Both the ascorbic acid and the placebo tablets were furnished by Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc., Nutley, N. J.
These provided a daily supplement of 20,000 U. S. P. units of vitamin A, 1.2 mg. of thiamine hydrochloride, 200 micrograms of riboflavin, 50 mg. of ascorbic acid and 2,000 U. S. P. units of vitamin D. A second group of 107 students was given the following daily vitamin supplements: vitamin A 10,000 U. S. P. units, thiamine hydrochloride 48.6 mg. daily for the first week and 3.6 mg. daily thereafter, riboflavin 100 micrograms, ascorbic acid 25 mg., vitamin D 1,000 U. S. P. units and after January 1 nicotinic acid 50 mg. daily. This dosage was obtained by the daily administration of 1 multiple vitamin capsule together with the thiamine hydrochloride and nicotinic acid already indicated.

The control group for the experiment consisted of 120 students who were furnished placebo capsules which

Table 2—Multiple Vitamins in the Prevention of Colds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects who began study</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>107</th>
<th>130</th>
<th>79.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects who completed study</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects who completed study with complications</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of subjects hospitalized</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification of colds experienced (percentage of total colds in group):
1. Based on symptomatology:    
   Mild                        | 72.3 | 73.8 | 72.4 |
   Moderately severe           | 14.1 | 12.8 | 16.6 |
   Severe                      | 9.6  | 8.1  | 7.0  |
   Very severe                 | 5.0  | 3.2  | 3.0  |

2. Based on student's opinion as to comparison with previous colds:    
   Very much milder than average previous cold | 28.3 | 28.2 | 25.6 |
   Somewhat milder than average previous cold  | 31.2 | 30.4 | 25.9 |
   About the same as average previous cold     | 28.9 | 31.0 | 26.9 |
   More severe than average previous cold      | 9.8  | 10.9 | 8.9  |
   Very much more severe than average          | 1.7  | 4.5  | 0.5  |

3. Average duration of colds, days:    
   8.2  | 8.9  | 8.1  |

* Reported from memory.    
† Classifications explained in text.

were indistinguishable from the multiple vitamin capsules. These were prescribed at the rate of 2 daily throughout the "cold season."

RESULTS WITH MULTIPLE VITAMINS

Table 2 and figure 3 present the results of this study. From table 2 it is apparent that there is no difference in the number of colds reported by the experimental and control groups. The same is true for the weekly occurrence of colds as shown in figure 3. The total number of colds reported by students in all groups was higher in this study than in most of our other experiments. This is in keeping with the general impression that the year 1940-1941 was characterized by an exceptionally high incidence of colds in the general school population.

Table 2 also presents an attempt to evaluate the relative severity of the colds reported by the students in the various groups. Three sets of criteria were used in this analysis. The severity of colds as reported on the weekly cards was estimated in much the same manner as was utilized by Shibley and Spies and by Stafford. The occurrence of nasal discharge and obstruction with or without cough, sputum or sore throat lasting for more than one day but without aching, fever or confinement to bed was classified as a mild cold. The presence in addition of one of the recorded features of fever, aching or confinement to bed resulted in the classification moderately severe; if two of these features were present the cold was labeled severe, and if all three were present, that is if the student reported that he had fever, generalized aching and was confined to bed, the cold was classified as very severe.

In a further attempt to determine whether the medication modified the severity of the colds, the student was asked at the end of each cold he did experience to give an opinion as to how that particular cold compared with his previous colds (fig. 1). An examination of table 2 does not reveal any evidence that the multiple vitamins reduced the severity of the colds. In fact, complications were more frequent among the students who got the vitamin supplements than among the control group. Furthermore, the average duration of each cold was the same for all three groups.

SUMMARY

This controlled study yields no indication that either large doses of vitamin C alone or large doses of vitamins A, B1, B2, C and D and nicotinic acid have any important effect on the number or severity of infections of the upper respiratory tract when administered to young adults who presumably are already on a reasonably adequate diet.

ABSTRACT OF DISCUSSION

Dr. John A. Ferrell, New York: May I inquire of the authors whether some of their study and control groups were known to have one or more vitamin deficiencies when the observations began? If not, what would be the rationale of administering vitamins to persons among whom no deficiencies had been determined with a view of influencing the incidence of colds due to viruses? With regard to the influenza viruses, the authorities in this field report that when resistance is built up against a specific virus the antibody titer for this virus is raised to a notable degree. Did you make any tests of your subjects relative to their antibody status for one or another of the viruses? The answers to these questions would be of real importance when testing the effectiveness of any therapeutic agent against a specific infection.

Dr. Joseph S. Lawrence, Albany, N. Y.: Although the figures show practically no difference in the prevalence of colds between the two groups, if I gathered correctly from the statistics, there was, however, an appreciable diminution of colds among those examined as compared with the prevalence of colds among other students that winter. The students of both groups claimed also that they had had fewer colds than in the preceding winter. I wonder if the authors of the paper have an explanation for this apparent difference in incidence.

Dr. Haven Emerson, New York: This is a matter of the greatest importance to officers of the government concerned with the character of advertising claims that certain commercial preparations will stop and cure colds. The whole technical of

6. The vitamins as well as the placebo capsules were furnished by Eli Lilly & Co., Indianapolis.

testing colds is at stake, and this is a good example of a carefully controlled study. I hope other questions as pointed as those that have been asked will be put to the authors. This is not an isolated undertaking in clinical research. Dr. Diehl and his colleagues have made many efforts to unravel the etiology of colds and to produce some practical proof of prevention and of successful treatment.

Dr. Donald W. Cowan, Minneapolis: In regard to the first question, we did no analyses to see whether or not the students were suffering from vitamin deficiency. We assumed that they were not, since all of them were on a reasonably adequate diet. We made no antibody studies either. We had no preconceived idea as to the value of vitamin therapy in the reduction of colds. We didn't know whether they would help or would not help. We merely used the vitamins because so many of them were being taken by so many people that we thought a real controlled study was indicated to determine whether or not there might be something to the belief that persons taking large doses of expensive vitamins had fewer colds. There is, as far as I know, no rationale for it, and we certainly were not able to demonstrate that the vitamins were of any value. In regard to the question asked by Dr. Lawrence, there is a remarkable decrease in the reported number of colds on the part of both the control subjects and the experimental subjects in all of our cold prevention studies. We are at a loss to explain why it is that 60 to 65 per cent reduction in the number of persons who are taking injections of sterile saline solution when they are controlling an experiment with injected vaccine, or starch tablets when they are controlling some oral vaccine, or capsules of liquid petroleum when they are acting as controls for vitamin concentrates. One thing that might enter into the remarkable reduction in the number of colds among students in the control group is the fact that when one is taking two or three pills every day or is given a shot in the arm once or twice a week because he is cold susceptible he is continually being reminded that he is trying to do something about his colds; and he might consciously or unconsciously practice better general hygiene, which might decrease the number of colds which he has. For example, at Minnesota students are often observed dashing from their rooming houses to the corner drug store at 20 below zero without hats or coats. I wonder whether they aren't more likely to put on a hat and coat when they are in the cold prevention group.

Dr. Harold S. Diehl, Minneapolis: In further response to Dr. Ferrell's question, the reason for making this study was not that we thought these students exhibited vitamin deficiencies but that the average population is buying millions of dollars' worth of these vitamins every year, much of which is stimulated by advertising which suggests that vitamins will prevent colds. The question that we were attempting to answer was: Are vitamins of any value for the prevention of colds in a cross section of the population such as we get in a university? In a group of university students one would not expect to find such vitamin deficiency, and yet in a big state university, such as Minnesota, a large portion of our students are self supporting, living in rooming houses and eating in restaurants. Observing cafeteria selections, we know their diets are not what we would recommend. Hence we can be certain that the economic level of these students and the type of diet they are getting is probably not as good as that of the average person who buys vitamin pills. Another point emphasized by this study, as well as by our previous studies on colds, is that studies of this sort are to have any significance they must be done with a control group, and that control group must be treated in the same way as the experimental group. Results are not significant if this control group receives nothing. The control group must be given something which has the same psychologic effect as the treatment received by the experimental group. Our studies show that placebo pills give what appears to be excellent results. It is common for the control group to report a reduction from an average of five to about two colds a year. In fact, certain results reported by many persons who received placebos would serve as splendid testimonials for anything for the prevention of colds.
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